Historic Properties Technical Memorandum & Programmatic Agreement Workshop Uinta Basin Railway EIS December 9, 2020

# Agenda

- > Introductions
- Status and Schedule Review
- ➤ Historic Properties Technical Memorandum review/discussion
- Programmatic Agreement review/discussion
- Next Steps



# **Introductions**

### **Lead Agency - 800.2 (a) (2)**

Surface Transportation Board

# Other Federal Agencies – 800.2 (a)

- Bureau of Land Management
- > U.S. Forest Service, Ashley National Forest
- > U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Bureau of Indian Affairs
- > U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

## **Council – 800.2 (b)**

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

### **State Historic Preservation Officer – 800.2 (c) (1)**

Utah Division of State History

### Indian Tribes -800.2 (c) (2) (i)

➤ Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation

### **Indian Tribes – 800.2 (c) (2) (ii)**

> The Hopi Tribe of Arizona

## Representatives of Local Governments – 800.2 (c) (3)

- Utah Public Lands Policy Coordinating Office
- > Utah Department of Transportation
- Uintah County
- Duchesne County
- Carbon County
- > State Institutional Trust Lands Administration

### Applicant for Federal permit – 800.2 (c) (4)

Seven County Infrastructure Coalition

#### Additional Consulting Parties 800.2. (c) (5)

- Nine Mile Canyon Coalition
- Colorado Plateau Archaeological Alliance
- Utah Rock Art Research Association

# **Status & Schedule Review**

- > Environmental Impact Statement
  - ☐ Draft available for review starting October 30, 2020
  - ☐ Public meetings held weeks of November 16 & 30
  - ☐ Comment period closes Thursday, January 28, 2021

# **Status & Schedule Review**

- > Historic Properties Technical Memorandum
  - ☐ Distributed for review October 23, 2020
  - □ 30-day comment period closed November 23, 2020
- > Programmatic Agreement
  - ☐ Revised version included in Draft EIS
  - ☐ Additional consultation during Draft EIS comment period

	14 4 •
Consul	ltation
Company	itativii

- ☐ Identified wide range of potential consulting parties
- ☐ Initiated consultation with formal letters
- ☐ Followed up to determine interest in participating
- ☐ Consulted individually during in-person and telephone meetings
- ☐ Conducted group consultation meetings
- ☐ Details provided in technical memorandum



- Section 106 Compliance Approach
  - Phased Identification
    - Three alternatives
    - o Corridors  $\approx 81, 88, \& 103$  miles long
  - ☐ Phase 1
    - Prior to Board authorization
    - o Establish "likely presence" of historic properties
    - Develop Programmatic Agreement
  - $\Box$  Phase 2
    - After Board authorization
    - o Carry out terms of Programmatic Agreement
    - o Finalize determinations of eligibility, assess effects, resolve adverse effects

- > Coalition Historic Properties Technical Studies
  - ☐ OEA reviewed methodology
  - ☐ Coalition reviewed previous reports and evaluations
  - ☐ Coalition conducted field investigation for archaeology and historic architecture
  - ☐ Coalition developed context
  - ☐ Coalition made National Register eligibility recommendations
  - ☐ OEA reviewed technical reports and conclusions

	<b>OEA</b>	Anal	lvsis
_			

- ☐ Developed Area of Potential Effects
- ☐ Reviewed Coalition field results and recommendations
- ☐ Summarized context
- ☐ Developed ethnographic overview
- ☐ Documented eligibility determinations

- ☐ Preliminarily analyzed effects
- ☐ Consulted with land managing agencies
- ☐ Requested consulting party review/comment
- ☐ Requested SHPO concurrence
- ☐ Developed draft PA

- > Received preliminary SHPO concurrence
- Comments Received
  - ☐ Terminology
  - ☐ Alternative address for a tribe
  - ☐ Property owner/land management agency
  - ☐ Review of findings with land management agencies
  - ☐ Inventory forms / trinomials
  - ☐ Architectural styles
  - ☐ Treatment of linear features
- Update pending

# **Programmatic Agreement**

- > Revised draft attached as an appendix to DEIS
- Included updates based on comments from consulting parties
  - ☐ Status changes
  - ☐ Tiering of reviews
  - ☐ Review periods
  - ☐ Area of Potential Effects
  - ☐ Human remains
  - ☐ Agency responsibilities
- No additional comments received to date
- ➤ Additional comments invited until January 28, 2021

# **Next Steps**

- > Review questions and comments from this meeting
- > Prepare and post PowerPoint & meeting summary
- ➤ Invite comments on DEIS and PA through January 28, 2021
- ➤ Circulate PA for signature February 2021

# http://uintabasinrailwayeis.com/

# Alan Tabachnick

Historic Preservation Specialist Office of Environmental Analysis Surface Transportation Board

<u>Alan.tabachnick@stb.gov</u> 202-245-0367(office)/215-370-3579(cell)