UINTA BASIN RAILWAY

DOCKET NUMBER FD 36284

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

December 01, 2020

ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604 ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



1	
2	
3	SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
4	
5	UINTA BASIN RAILWAY
б	DOCKET NUMBER FD 36284
7	DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
8	PUBLIC MEETING
9	-00000-
10	
11	Tuesday, December 1, 2020
12	2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Abigail D.W. Johnson, RPR, CRR, CRC

Γ

1	December 1, 2020 2:00 p.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	-000-
4	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Ladies and gentlemen,
5	the time is 2:00 p.m. local time. And we will now
6	start the Surface Transportation Board Office of
7	Environmental Analysis or OEA online public meeting.
8	This online public meeting is for the Uinta Basin
9	Railway Draft Environmental Impact Statement or EIS.
10	Thank you everyone for participating. My
11	name is Jennifer Piggott, and I will serve as your
12	neutral facilitator this afternoon. I am with ICF, who
13	is supporting OEA as an independent third-party
14	contractor. Also on the line is Josh Wayland, the EIS
15	project manager with the Surface Transportation Board
16	Office of Environmental Analysis.
17	This online public meeting is being
18	recorded and transcribed. The same presentation will
19	be made available at each of the six online public
20	meetings.
21	If you need assistance with Zoom during the
22	meeting, you can use the chat feature located at the
23	bottom of your screen to message the meeting host. We
24	appreciate your participation in this online public
25	meeting. We would much rather be with you in person.

But with the current conditions, we want to provide all
 of the information we can while protecting everyone's
 health.

We are going to conduct this as closely as possible to a typical in-person public meeting. Please make a note of the phone number of this meeting. The phone number is 877-853-5247, Meeting ID: 8 874-8149-6515, Password: 05649357.

9 If you experience difficulty with your 10 Internet connection at any point during this meeting, 11 you can call this number to listen to the meeting. 12 Additionally, individual Internet connections and band 13 widths may impact your viewing experience this 14 afternoon. We recommend closing all apps and programs and limiting other streaming or downloads during this 15 16 meeting.

Finally, we're running this meeting using Zoom Webinar, which mutes all participants and restricts video feeds. Only the meeting host can unmute you.

For any media representatives that join this afternoon, please contact the EIS project manager, Josh Wayland, for any media queries or interview requests. Mr. Wayland can be reached at joshua.wayland@stb.gov.

You can see the meeting topics on the slide. We will conduct the online public meeting in two parts.

First, OEA will provide an overview of the proposed project and the environmental review process followed by a facilitated comment session where interested parties can provide oral comments for the record.

9 Before we begin, I would like to review
10 some ground rules for today's online public meeting.
11 As previously stated, we will show a presentation and
12 then receive oral comments. We will not host a
13 question-and-answer session during this meeting.

14 Please remember the meeting is being recorded and transcribed, so no inappropriate or 15 16 threatening language. Commenters will be called on in 17 the order in which they registered with the elected 18 officials given the opportunity to speak first. If you 19 did not preregister to provide an oral comment at 20 tonight's meeting and would like to do so, please send 21 a chat message to the meeting host, raise your hand 22 using the hand raise icon next to the name or for 23 call-in only users, press star 9 to raise your hand. 24 We will receive as many comments as time allows. 25 If you are not called on to provide an oral

comment this evening, you may provide a written comment 1 2 electronically through the project website or through 3 the mail or provide an oral comment at another online 4 public meeting. Again, we will make every effort to receive as many oral comments as possible during 5 6 today's meeting. 7 Please submit comments by the close of the comment period, which is December 14th, 2020. 8 We 9 invite you to submit comments orally at one of the 10 remaining online public meetings, in writing via the 11 Board-sponsored project website at 12 www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com or in writing to Joshua 13 Wayland, Surface Transportation Board, c/o ICF, 9300 14 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, Attention: Environmental filing, Docket No. FD 36284. 15 16 OEA will make today's presentation 17 available on the project website. We appreciate the chance to share the proposed project and environmental 18 19 process with all of you. We wish we could be together 20 in person, but we're glad to come together virtually. 21 Again, the purpose of this online public 22 meeting is to share information about the Draft 23 Environmental Impact Statement, provide information on 24 how to provide comments and to receive oral comments. Now I would like to introduce Joshua 25

Wayland, the EIS project manager with the Surface 1 2 Transportation Board's Office of Environmental 3 Analysis, for his welcome remarks. Josh? 4 JOSHUA WAYLAND: Thank you, Jennifer. And hello, everyone. Thank you for joining us this 5 afternoon. 6 As Jennifer said, my name is Josh Wayland, and I am an environmental protection specialist with 7 the Surface Transportation Board's Office of 8 9 Environmental Analysis. And I am the project manager 10 for the Uinta Basin Railway Environmental Impact 11 Statement. 12 To reiterate one thing that Jennifer said, 13 we are very sorry that we are not able to be with you 14 there in person for these public meetings, but everyone's health and safety comes first, of course. 15 16 And I certainly hope that you are all taking care of 17 yourselves and staying safe and healthy. Even though 18 we can't be there in person, we are very glad to be 19 able to meet with you through this online format and 20 are looking forward to hearing your comments a little 21 later in the meeting. 22 I see that at this time, we have 48 people 23 on the line, including folks who tuned in to the

25 to acknowledge Avery Cooke and Heather Haley from the

24

webinar and those who have called in by phone. I want

Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, which is one of 1 2 the State agencies that we have been working with on 3 the environmental review. Thanks to you both for 4 joining us today. And I also see that we have Kristy Groves of Ashley National Forest who is here 5 representing the U.S. Forest Service, one of our 6 7 federal cooperating agencies who is also working with us on this environmental impact statement. 8 9 Welcome, as well, to any other agency 10 representatives and elected officials who might have 11 joined us today and thank you very much for taking the 12 time to be here. 13 Before we move into the comment portion of 14 this afternoon's meeting, we would like to play a presentation for you that will provide a very brief 15 16 overview of the proposed Uinta Basin Railway and of the 17 Surface Transportation Board's EIS process, as well as 18 some of the major conclusions of the Draft EIS. 19 This presentation will be available on the 20 Board-sponsored project website. That's 21 www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com in case you would like to 22 download it and watch it again later. 23 So, with that, I would just like to welcome everyone once again to this meeting. Thank you for 24 25 your participation in this process and ask Jennifer to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

please go ahead and start the presentation. Hello and welcome to this online public meeting for the Uinta Basin Railway Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Environmental Impact Statement, or EIS, is being prepared by the Surface Transportation Board, which is the federal agency that authorizes the construction and operation of new rail lines. My name is Josh Wayland, and I work for the Surface Transportation Board's Office of Environmental In this presentation, I will provide a very Analysis. brief overview of the environmental review process, the conclusions of the Draft EIS, and how you can submit your comments on the Draft EIS. Here is the agenda for this presentation. I will start out by providing an overview of the role of my agency, the Surface Transportation Board, as well as the cooperating agencies that are working with us, the Seven County Infrastructure Coalition, which is the project applicant, and the Coalition's proposed project. Next, I will discuss the environmental review process, including the different resource areas that we have analyzed in the Draft EIS, the major conclusions of the Draft EIS, and our preliminary recommendations for mitigating environmental impacts.

1	Then, I will discuss how to access more
2	information about the proposed project and the
3	environmental review. I will then discuss the comment
4	period and the public meetings. And finally, I will
5	explain how you can submit your comments on the Draft
б	EIS.
7	Let's begin with an overview of the
8	proposed project. As I mentioned earlier, I work for a
9	federal agency called the Surface Transportation Board.
10	The Board is the lead agency for the Uinta Basin
11	Railway EIS. It is a bi-partisan independent
12	adjudicatory board with broad economic regulatory
13	oversight over railroads, including railroad rates and
14	service, as well as acquisition, abandonment,
15	construction, and operation of rail lines.
16	When considering whether or not to
17	authorize the construction and operation of a new line
18	of railroad, the Board considers both the
19	transportation merits of the proposal and the potential
20	environmental impacts.
21	The Board's Office of Environmental
22	Analysis, or OEA, is the office at the Board that is
23	responsible for conducting the environmental review for
24	new railroad construction proposals.
25	OEA works under the National Environmental

Γ

1	Policy Act, or NEPA, as well as related environmental
2	laws. In this case, OEA is working with five
3	cooperating agencies to conduct the environmental
4	review, including four federal agencies and one state
5	agency. Those agencies are the Bureau of Land
6	Management, the U.S. Forest Service, the U.S. Army
7	Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and
8	the State of Utah's Public Lands Policy Coordinating
9	Office.
10	The Draft EIS contains more information
11	about the decisions that the cooperating agencies would
12	be responsible for making if the Board were to
13	authorize the construction and operation of the
14	proposed Uinta Basin Railway.
15	The Uinta Basin Railway is not being
16	proposed, funded, or sponsored by the Federal
17	Government. The project applicant is the Seven County
18	Infrastructure Coalition, or the Coalition. The
19	Coalition proposes to construct and operate an
20	approximately 85-mile rail line extending from two
21	terminus points in the Uinta Basin near South Myton
22	Bench and Leland Bench to an existing Union Pacific
23	Railroad Company rail line near Kyune, Utah.
24	The purpose of the proposed rail line would
25	be to provide common carrier rail service connecting

1 the Uinta Basin to the Interstate Common Carrier Rail 2 Network using a route that would provide shippers with 3 a viable alternative to trucking. The coalition 4 anticipates that the proposed rail line would primarily be used to transport crude oil produced in the Uinta 5 Basin to markets across the United States, but trains 6 7 on the proposed rail line could also carry other product and commodities, potentially including frac 8 sand, other proppant material, steel, machinery, and 9 10 mineral and agricultural products and commodities.

11 The volume of rail traffic on the proposed 12 rail line would depend on future market conditions, 13 including demand for crude oil produced in the Uinta 14 Basin. Depending on those market conditions, the 15 Coalition estimates that between approximately 3.68 and 16 approximately 10.52 trains could operate on the 17 proposed rail line each day on average, and that 18 estimate includes both loaded and unloaded trains.

19 The National Environmental Policy Act, or 20 NEPA, requires that federal agencies consider 21 reasonable alternatives to a proposed action. In the 22 case of the Uinta Basin Railway, there are relatively 23 few potential routes for a rail line to take due to the 24 mountainous terrain that surrounds the Uinta Basin. 25 However, OEA identified three reasonable alternatives that would be logistically feasible and practical to
 construct and operate.

3 In the Draft EIS, these alternatives are 4 referred to as the Action Alternatives. The Action 5 Alternatives are the Indian Canyon Alternative, the Wells Draw Alternative, and the Whitmore Park 6 7 I will present maps of these three Action Alternative. Alternatives in just a moment. In addition to the 8 9 Action Alternatives, though, the Draft EIS also 10 considers the potential environmental impacts of the 11 No-Action Alternative, which would occur if the 12 Coalition did not construct and operate the proposed 13 rail line.

14 This map shows the route of the Indian 15 Canyon Alternative. From terminus points near South 16 Myton Bench and Leland Bench, this alternative would 17 extend westward and would then head southwest through 18 Indian Canyon, paralleling Route 191.

There would be three tunnels on this route to maintain a safe grade while traversing the mountains that surround the Uinta Basin. South of Indian Canyon, the alternative would head west to a connection with an existing Union Pacific Railroad rail line near Kyune. The Indian Canyon Alternative would be approximately 81 miles long, and it would cross land that is owned or

managed by the Ute Indian Tribe, the U.S. Forest
 Service, the State of Utah, and the Bureau of Land
 Management, as well as private land.

4 The Wells Draw Alternative would also 5 connect two terminus points in the Uinta Basin near 6 South Myton Bench and Leland Bench to the existing 7 Union Pacific rail line near Kyune. This alternative would follow a different route between those end points 8 9 compared to Indian Canyon Alternative, however. South 10 of Myton, the route would follow Wells Draw toward its 11 head waters and then would turn west into Argyle 12 Canyon.

The Wells Draw Alternative would cross very rugged terrain and require 13 tunnels to maintain a safe grade. It would be approximately 103 miles long and would cross land managed by the Bureau of Land Management and the State of Utah, as well as private land.

Early in the EIS process, the Coalition developed a new alternative to avoid certain environmental impacts that had been identified. This is the Whitmore Park Alternative. It would be similar to the Indian Canyon Alternative because it would also parallel Route 191 through Indian Canyon. However, to the north and south of Indian Canyon, the Whitmore Park

Alternative would follow a different route. To the
 north, the Whitmore Park Alternative would go around
 the Duchesne Mini Ranches area of Duchesne County to
 avoid impacts on residences there.

5 To the South of Indian Canyon, the Whitmore 6 Park Alternative would be located further north 7 compared to the Indian Canyon Alternative and the Wells 8 Draw Alternative in order to avoid or minimize impacts 9 on greater sage-grouse in the Carbon Sage-Grouse 10 Management Area.

The Whitmore Park Alternative would have five tunnels and would be approximately 88 miles long. In its request for Board authority to construct and operate the rail line, the Coalition identified the Whitmore Park Alternative as its preferred alternative.

I will turn now to a summary of the environmental review process. The first step in the EIS process is scoping. For this project, scoping began on June 19, 2019, when OEA issued a notice of intent to prepare an EIS. During scoping, OEA requested public and agency comments on the alternatives and the topics to be analyzed in the EIS.

OEA held six public meetings in the project area as part of scoping. After receiving comments on the scope of study for the EIS, OEA issued a final

scope of study in December 2019. Following the end of 1 2 scoping, OEA prepared the Draft EIS in consultation 3 with the cooperating agencies. The Draft EIS analyzes 4 the potential environmental impacts of the three Action It also sets forth OEA preliminary 5 Alternatives. mitigation measures for addressing those impacts, and 6 7 it identifies the Whitmore Park Alternative as OEA's preliminary environmentally preferred alternative. 8 The 9 Draft EIS also sets the schedule for the public comment 10 period and the online public meetings. The Draft EIS 11 was published on October 30, 2020. 12 After the end of the public comment period 13 for the Draft EIS, OEA will prepare a final EIS. The 14 final EIS will respond to comments received during the comment period, and it will make OEA final 15 16 recommendations to the Board, including the final 17 recommended mitigation measures. The Board will then 18 consider the entire record, including OEA's 19 recommendations as it makes its final decision. In its 20 final decision, the Board may decide to deny the 21 Coalition's request for authority to construct and 22 operate the proposed rail line. It may grant authority 23 to construct and operate the proposed rail line, or it 24 may grant authority with conditions, including environmental conditions. If the Board should decide 25

to authorize the proposed rail line, the Coalition would 1 2 then need to obtain rights of way or permits from one or 3 more of the cooperating agencies. Those agencies would 4 then need to decide whether to grant those rights of way 5 or permits. The Draft EIS presents more detailed information about the decisions that the cooperating 6 7 agencies could make depending on which, if any, alternative is authorized by the Board. 8 9 Now, I will briefly review some of the 10 major findings and conclusions of the Draft EIS. 11 The Draft EIS analyzed the potential 12 environmental impacts of the proposed rail line across 13 a range of resource areas. These include vehicle 14 safety and delay, rail operation safety, water resources, biological resources, geology, soils, 15 16 seismic hazards and hazardous waste, noise and 17 vibration, air quality and greenhouse gases, energy, cultural resources, paleontological resources, land use 18 19 and recreation, visual resources, socioeconomics, 20 environmental justice, and cumulative impacts. 21 The Draft EIS concludes that any of the 22 Action Alternatives would have significant 23 environmental impacts. Any of the Action Alternatives would cross surface waters and wetlands, which would 24 25 result in unavoidable impacts on water resources.

Among the Action Alternatives, the Wells Draw
 Alternative would permanently affect the largest area
 of surface waters and wetlands. While the Whitmore
 Park Alternative would permanently affect the smallest
 area.

6 Any of the Action Alternatives would also 7 affect vegetation and wildlife, including species that 8 are listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act, as well as other species that 9 10 are managed by federal, state, and tribal agencies. For example, any the Action Alternatives would cross 11 12 habitat for greater sage-grouse, which is a species 13 that is protected by the Bureau of Land Management and 14 the State of Utah.

For this species, the Whitmore Park
Alternative would minimize impacts by avoiding
sage-grouse lek and summer brood-rearing habitats.

The proposed rail line would create noise 18 19 that could disturb people who live near the proposed 20 rail line. Wayside noise refers to the noise from 21 locomotive engines, as well as from train wheels on 22 Depending on the number of trains that would rail. 23 move on the rail line each day, wayside noise could 24 exceed the Surface Transportation Board's noise impact 25 thresholds at up to six residences for the Indian

Page 18

Canyon Alternative, up to two residents for the
 Whitmore Park Alternative, and up to one residence for
 the Wells Draw Alternative.

4 The proposed rail line would have both positive and negative socioeconomic impacts. 5 6 Beneficial impacts would include creating new jobs 7 during construction and during rail operations, as well as generating tax revenue. Adverse socioeconomic 8 9 impacts would include the acquisition and displacement 10 of residences and of economic activities, such as 11 ranching or farming.

12 The proposed rail line would affect land 13 uses on public, private, or tribal lands. The effects 14 on land use would depend on the alternative. Any of the Action Alternatives would cross state land and 15 16 private land. In addition, the Indian Canyon Alternative and the Whitmore Park Alternative would 17 each cross inventoried roadless areas within Ashley 18 19 National Forest and tribal trust lands within the Ute 20 Indian Tribe's Uintah and Ouray Reservation.

The Indian Canyon Alternative and the Wells Draw Alternative would both cross Bureau of Land Management land. And the Wells Draw Alternative would cross parts of the Bureau of Land Management's Lears Canyon area of critical environmental concern, as well

as areas with wilderness characteristics. 1 2 Among many other resource areas, the Draft 3 EIS specifically analyzes areas that OEA learned are of 4 concern to the Ute Indian Tribe, including impacts relating to vehicle safety and delay, rail operations 5 6 safety, biological resources, air emissions, and 7 cultural resources. 8 Based on OEA's analysis, and in 9 consultation with the cooperating agencies, OEA has 10 preliminarily identified the Whitmore Park Alternative as the environmentally preferred alternative because 11 12 that action alternative would avoid or minimize 13 environmental impacts compared to the Indian Canyon 14 Alternative and the Wells Draw Alternative. In particular, the Whitmore Park Alternative would avoid 15 16 or minimize impacts on water resources, greater 17 sage-grouse, and residential areas in the Duchesne Mini 18 Ranches area and the Argyle Canyon area of Duchesne 19 County.

For these reasons, OEA is preliminarily recommending that if the Board decides to authorize a proposed rail line, the Board should only authorize the Whitmore Park Alternative to minimize environmental impacts.

We welcome your comments on this

25

preliminary recommendation, as well as any of the other 1 2 conclusions presented in the Draft EIS. 3 If the Board should authorize the proposed 4 rail line, OEA also recommends that the Board impose 5 mitigation measures to mitigate impacts on the environment. The Coalition has proposed 56 voluntary 6 7 mitigation measures, which are described in Chapter 4 of the Draft EIS. 8 9 In addition to those 56 voluntary 10 mitigation measures, OEA is also recommending an 11 additional 73 preliminary mitigation measures. Those 12 recommended mitigation measures are also set forth in 13 Chapter 4 of the Draft EIS. We welcome your comments 14 on these preliminary mitigation measures with the voluntary mitigation measures and the additional 15 16 recommended mitigation measures. 17 After considering all public comments on the Draft EIS, OEA will make its final recommendations 18 on mitigation to the Board in the final EIS. 19 20 Now, I will discuss how you can access the Draft EIS and find more information about the 21 22 environmental review process. 23 This is a screenshot of the Board-sponsored 24 project website for the EIS. You can find it at 25 www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com, or you can do a search

for Uinta Basin Railway Environmental Impact Statement.
On this website, the Draft EIS is available for
download and you can also view an interactive map of
the Action Alternatives on the website and review many
project-related documents, including the field surveys
that were conducted to support the environmental
review.

8 You can also provide your comments on the 9 Draft EIS through this website. To do so, just click 10 on the public involvement page, which provides a link 11 to a comment form and instructions.

12 This is what the comment form looks like. 13 You can type your comment right into the comment box, 14 or you can upload your comment as one or more The comment box can be used for comments 15 attachments. 16 up to 4,000 characters long. If you would like to 17 submit a comment that is longer than 4,000 characters, 18 you can upload your comment in the form of a picture, a 19 word document, a PDF file, or as another format.

Another place where you can find information about the proposed project is the Board's website at stb.gov or do a search for the Surface Transportation Board. The Board's website looks like this. To find information about the Uinta Basin Railway Project, you can click on the link that

says, "Search STB Records." 1 To do a search on the 2 Board's website, you will need to know the docket 3 number for the case. For the Uinta Basin Railway, the 4 Docket Number is FD 36284. If you conduct a search for 5 Docket No. FD 36284, you will find filings and 6 decisions about the case. These include the Draft EIS, 7 which was issued on October 30th, as well as the Coalition's petition seeking authority to construct and 8 9 operate the proposed rail line. 10 The Draft EIS is currently available for

11 public review and comment. The comment period is 12 45 days long. It began on October 30th, 2020, and is 13 scheduled to end on December 14th, 2020. To be 14 considered in the final EIS, therefore, your written comments must be submitted or postmarked by 15 16 December 14th. You can submit your oral comments at 17 any of the six public meetings that we are holding 18 during the comment period.

Due to the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic, all of the public meetings are being held online. The dates and times of the online public meetings are shown on this slide, and information on how to register for a public meeting is available on the Board-sponsored public website at uintabasinrailwayeis.com.

25

Before finishing today's presentation, I

would like to briefly review the ways in which you can 1 2 submit your comments on the Draft EIS. There are 3 several different options for submitting comments and 4 OEA will consider all comments equally, regardless of how you choose to submit them. You may give an oral 5 comment at today's online public meeting or another 6 7 online public meeting. You can find information about how to register for a meeting at the Board-sponsored 8 9 project website. Alternatively, you can submit a 10 written comment electronically through the project 11 There is also an option of mailing your website. 12 comment if you prefer. You can send your written 13 comments to me, Joshua Wayland, Surface Transportation 14 Board, c/o ICF, 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, Attention: Environmental Filing Docket No. FD 15 16 36284. We ask that you please do refer to Docket No. 17 FD 36284 in your mailed comments.

18 This brings us to the end of today's 19 presentation. We really do appreciate your interest in 20 and participation in the Surface Transportation Board's 21 environmental review process for this proposed Uinta 22 Basin Railway Project. We look forward to receiving 23 your comments and continuing to consult with you 24 throughout the remainder of the EIS process. Thank you 25 all very much.

1	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you, Josh. We
2	have now reached the second part of the online public
3	meeting, a facilitated comment session. If you have
4	not already registered to provide an oral comment and
5	would like to speak, please send a chat message to the
6	meeting host or raise your hand using the raise hand
7	icon next to your name. If you're on Zoom or call-in
8	only users, press star 9 to raise your hand and we will
9	add you to the commenter list.
10	We will call on you, if time allows, after
11	those who have preregistered have made their comments.
12	As a reminder, each commenter will have three minutes
13	to make their comments. At the start of your comments,
14	please state your full name for the record.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, our first speaker
16	this afternoon is Representative Elizabeth Weight.
17	Representative Weight, I am going to ask you to unmute.
18	REPRESENTATIVE WEIGHT: Thank you. Okay.
19	I am Representative Elizabeth Weight. I represent in
20	District 31, which is in West Valley City and I am
21	with, of course, the the Utah House of
22	Representatives. But I did want to clarify that I am
23	speaking as a state representative, not as a voice of
24	the body of the legislature or the house of
25	representatives. So in that respect, it's a somewhat

independent expression. 1 2 My expressions and my comments have 3 primarily to do with my appreciation for the 4 environmental impact statement process. I am seeing 5 that -- well, and I just want to -- to comment on how much value I believe there is in the process of 6 7 completing the entire impact analysis. I've looked through the table of contents. I have not had a chance 8 9 to review the entire analysis, but I can see the 10 extent. And I was aware of how extensive and inclusive 11 it was with different government agencies at the 12 federal level and the state level and including other entities including sovereign nation entities and local 13 14 input. And so I appreciate all of the steps in that 15 process.

16 For that reason, I'm looking at a page or 17 two from the request for -- the petition for exemption from the prior approval requirements submitted by the 18 19 Seven County Infrastructure Coalition. My comment to 20 that is that I -- I understand that they're making this 21 request on the condition that the -- the Board grant 22 the request, subject to the completion of its 23 environmental review process. But I can't see anything 24 in the request that would exempt it from waiting for 25 the entire process, including the prior approval

1 requirements to be entirely met. 2 So I -- I quess what I'm -- what I'm 3 expressing is that in this process where there are so 4 many considerations, where there is so much potential for disruption of environment and different elements in 5 the environment as well as potential for and need to 6 7 really consider all of the -- the hazards, including the whole concept of possibility of denial of the 8 9 permit. 10 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Forty seconds remaining. 11 REPRESENTATIVE WEIGHT: Okay. I urge the 12 board to deny the request for the waiver of the filing 13 fee and for the exemption from the prior approval I would like to see the whole process 14 requirements. proceed as outlined, regardless of this request. 15 16 And at this time, thank you for the 17 opportunity to comment, and I'll be submitting an 18 online one, as well. Thank you. 19 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 20 comments. Monica Hilding, I see your hand is raised. 21 22 I do have you on the speaker list this afternoon. And 23 you are registered speaker No. 6. 24 Our next registered speaker is Todd 25 Richins. And Mr. Richins, I'm not seeing you on the

1 Zoom webinar this afternoon. If you're a call-in only 2 user, please press star 9 to raise your hand so I can 3 identify you. Todd Richins, R-i-c-h-i-n-s. 4 Okay. Not seeing any hands raised. Our 5 next registered speaker is William Reese, William 6 Reese. Mr. Richins, I received your chat message, 7 8 no comments. 9 Okay. Our next speaker is William Reese, 10 I'm also not seeing Mr. Reese on the Zoom R-e-e-s-e. 11 webinar this afternoon. If you are a call-in only 12 user, please press star 9 to raise your hand so I can 13 identify you. 14 Okay, not seeing any hands raised. Our next speaker is Matt Cazier. Matt Cazier, C-a-z-i-e-r. 15 16 I'm also not seeing you on the Zoom webinar feed. Ιf 17 you are a call-in only user, please press star 9 to raise your hand. Matt Cazier, C-a-z-i-e-r. 18 19 Okay, not seeing any hands. Our next 20 registered speaker is Stan Holmes. Mr. Holmes, I see 21 you, and I am going to ask you to unmute your line. 22 STANLEY HOLMES: Thank you. My name is 23 Stanley Holmes. I live in Salt Lake City. And I 24 appreciate the opportunity to comment. 25 In my opinion, the Uinta Basin Railway

represents yet another huge subsidy for the fossil fuel 1 2 industry, specifically oil and gas, but from government 3 agencies that should be using public monies to advance 4 the public's long-term interests in rural communities. 5 Unfortunately, the Seven County Infrastructure Coalition in concert with the Utah б 7 Community Impact Fund Board or CIB have been -- [audio distortion] in this regard preferring to continue 8 9 propping up the fossil fuel industry with Mineral Lease 10 Act monies meant to mitigate impacts of fossil fuel 11 extraction, witness the \$53 million CIB authorized 12 funds for an Oakland, California coal port developer, 13 and the \$20 million advanced payment offered by SCIC 14 commissioners to bail out that coal port developer from 15 bankruptcy. 16 The proposed railway would require 17 increased oil and gas drilling, production and pollution 18 in the Uinta Basin. Uinta Basin human residents and 19 wildlife are already affected by episodic high ozone 20 levels due to oil and gas operations. Even without the 21 added pollution that the UBR would facilitate, the Uinta 22 Basin has, on the other hand, ozone non attainment status by the EPA. 23 24 Utah State University issues ozone alert 25 warnings for the Uinta Basin. As the DEIS points out,

1 the Uinta Basin accounts for more than 90 percent of 2 Utah's criteria pollutant emissions from the oil and 3 qas sector. This is a problem year round, although the 4 ozone levels increase in the winter due to climatic In addition to ozone, Basin activities 5 conditions. spew carbon monoxide, lead, nitrogen dioxide, 6 7 particulate matter and sulphur dioxide into the 8 atmosphere.

9 The EPA warrants that exposure to ozone is 10 linked to a wide range of health effects including 11 aggravated asthma, increased emergency room visits, 12 hospital admissions and premature death.

13 In addition to helping form ozone, volatile 14 organic compound emissions from the oil and gas industry include toxic air pollutants such as benzene, 15 16 ethyl benzene and hexane. These air toxics are 17 pollutants known or suspected of causing cancer and other serious health effects. 18 The DEIS notes that the 19 eastern portion of the proposed rail line would be 20 located in the Uinta Basin ozone non attainment area, 21 but that much of the remainder of the proposed rail 22 line would be in attainment areas. This blindered 23 focus ignores the pollution increases in and beyond the 24 non attainment areas that would likely occur due to 25 increased fossil fuel production made possible, rather,

1 required by the railroad's construction. Intensity of 2 pollution can be expected to increase in the current 3 non attainment area. And the borders of the non 4 attainment area may have to be expanded due to 5 increased pollution. б The oil and gas industry is a significant 7 source of the emissions of methane, a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential more than 25 times 8 9 that of carbon dioxide. 10 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thirty seconds 11 remaining. 12 STANLEY HOLMES: Okay, thank you. At 13 pre-Covid levels, the Uinta Basin extraction industry 14 was producing over 1,000 metric tons of methane 15 annually. 16 Let me wrap up. The DEIS failed to give consideration to the No-Action Alternative. All that 17 we see is that under the No-Action Alternative, the 18 19 Board would not license the Coalition to construct and 20 operate the proposed rail line. The Coalition would 21 not support the rail line and the human environment 22 would not change from the current conditions. 23 Complicit here is --24 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Time. 25 STANLEY HOLMES: Thank you. No action,

1 please. 2 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 3 comments. 4 STANLEY HOLMES: You're very welcome. 5 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Our next registered 6 speaker is Monica Hilding. Ms. Hilding I am going to 7 ask you to unmute. 8 MONICA HILDING: Can you hear me now? 9 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear vou. Go 10 ahead. You have three minutes. 11 MONICA HILDING: Okay. My name is Monica 12 Hilding. Thank you for the opportunity to speak about 13 this project, although I have very little faith that 14 public input is actually included in the decisions that will be made on the Uinta Basin Railway. 15 16 As Covid-19 ravages the population, Utah 17 legislators and County commissioners are taking advantage of this time of Zoom meetings to pass and 18 19 promote all sorts of boondoggle projects. 20 The proposed railway is intended to 21 facilitate the vast expansion of oil, gas and other 22 fossil fuels in the Uinta Basin to distant markets. 23 Without the railway, these fuels would have no place to 24 go and thus would not be developed. This increase in 25 oil production, potentially four times the current

amount, will contribute irreversibly to greenhouse gas
 emissions to climate change.

3 Our climate is already at a tipping point. 4 We must not allow an expansion of fossil fuel development on this scale. To add insult to injury, 5 6 Seven County Infrastructure Coalition along with the 7 community impact board want to use public money. Under law, these funds are supposed to go to communities that 8 9 have been negatively impacted by oil and gas 10 development. Instead, these commissioners want to 11 waste this money to subsidize the oil and gas industry. 12 It should instead be investing in alternative of 13 economic development for these rural communities so 14 they can be part of the solution to the climate crisis.

15 This is a very expensive project because 16 the proposed route of the Uinta Basin Railway traverses 17 roadless areas, steep canyons and rugged terrain, over 10,000 acres of wild game [audio distortion] habitat 18 19 will be affected by the railroad. Some of this area 20 has been designated as crucial big game habitat by the 21 Forest Service. The route also impacts the 1,600 acres 22 of greater sage-grouse habitat in the areas inhabited 23 by the endangered [inaudible].

24The environmental impacts of this project25are huge, not only to the Uinta Basin. Those oil

refineries on Beck Street in Salt Lake will be busier
 than ever polluting our air with the oil and tar sand
 extracted from the Uinta Basin.

4 Who are the beneficiaries of this project? Is it worth polluting our air, land and water to 5 6 construct a project that will increase carbon emissions in the state? Your statement is important. Please 7 explore all of the destruction to the environment this 8 9 project would cause. With wildfires raging across the 10 American West, destroying communities, blocking out the 11 sun, filling the air with illness-causing soot, and 12 inflicting immense economic damage. It makes no sense 13 to keep burning fossil fuels within the community, 14 pushing us closer to an unfixable global warming. Ι 15 urge this project be denied.

16 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 17 comments.

18 MONICA HILDING: Thank you. 19 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Our next speaker this 20 afternoon is -- I apologize if I mispronounce your last 21 name -- Brian Moench. I am going to ask you to unmute. 22 BRIAN MOENCH: Can you hear me? 23 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, I can. Go ahead. 24 You have three minutes. 25 BRIAN MOENCH: I'm Dr. Brian Moench,

president of the Utah Physicians for a Healthy

1

In 2012 and 2013, during the peak of the 2 Environment. 3 oil and gas activity in the Uinta Basin, the University 4 of Colorado measured atmospheric VOCs over the Basin. They found shocking levels of VOCs 200 to 300 times 5 higher than background levels. They equated it to the 6 7 pollution of 100 million cars, eight times more cars than are registered in the greater Los Angeles area. 8 9 Other studies have shown this pollution 10 comes almost entirely from the oil and gas industry. An area that has only 30,000 residents is inhaling 11 12 eight times more pollution than an area where 13 13 million people live. 14 The authors of the study say, in fact, these levels were 10 to 100 times higher than what is 15 16 reported in the nation's largest cities. They also 17 found peaks of ozone up to 150 parts per billion, 18 double the EPA's limit. As a precursor to ozone, the Uinta Basin 19 20 VOCs contribute to ozone hundreds or even thousands of 21 miles away. The authors said their observations were, 22 "Among the highest ever reported in ambient air. The 23 aromatic compounds reach or exceed those recorded from 24 the most heavily polluted inner cities. This is a remarkable finding." 25

The very purpose of this railroad is to quadruple the oil and gas production in the Basin that has already seen 11,000 wells put into production, and that would quadruple what is already a pollution nightmare. Wherever you have a pollution nightmare, you will have a public health nightmare if you wait long enough or look hard enough.

8 While the environmental impact statement is 9 only focused on the construction and operation of the 10 railway itself, it is nonsensical that it not also 11 include as a direct consequence the environmental and 12 health consequences of the very purpose of the project. 13 The cumulative impact of the proposed project would 14 allow a public health disaster that will extend both east and west of the Basin into Colorado and the 15 16 Wasatch Front.

Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environmentrequest that you deny the project. Thank you.

19 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 20 comments. 21 Our next registered speaker is Mark 22 Winterton. Mr. Winterton, I am going to ask you to 23 unmute. 24 MARK WINTERTON: Okay. Can you hear me?

25

JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. Go

Page 36

1 | ahead. You have three minutes.

2 MARK WINTERTON: All right, it has been interesting to listen to most of these people. 3 I can 4 tell this, they're reading from someone. Most of them are from the city. I live right here in the Uinta 5 Basin, basically smack dab in the middle of it. 6 We 7 talk about pollution. We talk about this railway being mainly for fossil fuels. It could be -- we're actually 8 9 looking at it to be for all industries. The other 10 thing is that they talk about how it's being -- it's 11 tax dollars, it's government dollars. Whereas if 12 they've actually looked at it, it's all going to be 13 paid for by private investors.

As far as pollution out here, I live out here because the pollution in the city is where -- is bad; right? And I've seen these studies and I honestly don't know what they're doing if they stick it right in front of an exhaust pipe because -- because -- yeah. Basically, I call bull crap on these studies about pollution.

Leave us the hell alone is kind of what I want to tell the people from the city, Salt Lake, wherever you are, stay out there. Why don't you stay out there where we're running this railroad, it's -it's land that mostly is basically wasteland. Nobody

Γ

1	is there. Animals don't live there. We've had the
2	environmental impact statement done. It's they do a
3	great job. And most of them are environmentalists, so
4	you can give them that.
5	Anyways, as far as that goes, if you don't
6	live out here, I don't feel like you should even have a
7	say. Get lost. That's all I have to say. Thanks.
8	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
9	comments.
10	Our next registered speaker is Heather
11	Dove. Ms. Dove, I am going to ask you to unmute.
12	HEATHER DOVE: Okay. Can you hear me?
13	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, go ahead. You have
14	three minutes.
15	HEATHER DOVE: Okay. Thank you. My name
16	is Heather Dove. I am president of the Great Salt Lake
17	Audubon, which is the oldest conservation organization
18	in Utah.
19	Our members are very concerned about the
20	extensive habitat loss this project would cause. We in
21	Utah have already lost a great deal of critical habitat
22	due to urban sprawl and to the extraction industries,
23	including oil and gas. We do not want to see further
24	loss, especially to an industry that only exacerbates
25	the rise of greenhouse gases and global warming,

1 especially now that we are feeling the very real 2 effects of climate change with drought, massive 3 wildfires, storms and flooding all over the planet. 4 We are also concerned about the further 5 degradation of public lands. This state and this 6 country's public lands have already sustained repeated assaults in the last four years. We think these he --7 it is time for these assaults to stop. 8 9 We're sympathetic to the needs for economic 10 development and jobs in rural areas of the state, but 11 we should be investing in 21st Century businesses and 12 industries, not in industries that are destined to die. 13 This project would be a huge waste of 14 public funds and would be devastating to our wildlife 15 and our wild lands. We urge you to deny this project. Thank you for your 16 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: 17 comments. 18 Our next registered speaker is Marina 19 Ms. Grossman, I am going to ask you to Grossman. 20 unmute. 21 MARINA GROSSMAN: Am I unmuted? 22 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: You are unmuted. Go 23 ahead. You have three minutes. 24 MARINA GROSSMAN: Hey, my name is Marina 25 Grossman and I live in Salt Lake City. I'm concerned

about this project and the long-term effects it will 1 2 have on our state and economy. Putting in a rail line 3 would create another human-made impact that not only 4 compromises the integrity of the land, but the preferred route, the Whitmore Park Alternative, which 5 6 is a revised version of the Indian Canyon Alternative, 7 would affect 61 miles of streams and has 443 stream 8 crossings.

9 According to the letter from the Office of 10 Environmental Analysis, "OEA concludes that 11 construction and operation of any of the Alternatives 12 would result in significant environmental impacts. 13 Major impacts would include temporary and permanent 14 impacts on surface waters and wetlands. Impacts on biological resources, including federally listed 15 16 threatened and endangered species and other protected 17 species, permanent changes to land uses on public and private lands and noise impacts on residences near the 18 19 proposed rail line during rail operations."

Along with the negative long-term human-made impacts this railway would have on our state's economy, the long-term negative effects of increased oil production would not only deplete our already dwindling water resources, but it is not sustainable to life.

Where will the water come from that would 1 2 be needed for increased oil production? Are you going 3 to start buying water from our ranchers? From our 4 farmers? We are not living in the late 1800s. 5 Τn 6 fact, last I checked, we are coming to the end of the 7 year 2020. And we have a new president-elect who shares a different vision for our country and how the 8 9 economy will be stimulated. 10 The long-term future of our state economy 11 is not dependent upon rail lines for extraction. I'm 12 asking for the No-Action choice. Thank you. 13 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 14 comments. Our next speaker this afternoon is Pete 15 16 Gilmore. Pete Gilmore, G-i-l-m-o-r-e. I'm not seeing you on the Zoom webinar. So if you're a call-in only 17 18 user, please press star 9 to raise your hand so I can 19 identify you. Pete Gilmore. 20 Not seeing any hands raised. Our next 21 speaker is David Bennett. Mr. Bennett, I'm going to 22 ask you to unmute. 23 DAVID BENNETT: Good afternoon. Let me --24 Jennifer, let me first congratulate you on the 25 professionalism of moderating this afternoon and

Dr. Wayland on the comprehensiveness and thoroughness of addressing this issue perhaps with the exception of considering a No-Action plan, but the overall process is a good one.

We're here today to take a look at that 5 process and to comment accordingly. There have been a 6 7 lot of comments regarding the impact of this railway, this proposed railway on life in the Uinta Basin. 8 I'm 9 going to take this conversation to a new level to a 10 30,000-foot level literally. We are ending this era of 11 ignoring science, of science being politics, of science 12 being something to be derived. We are done with that 13 era now. We are moving into an era of -- moving this 14 country and this planet forward as we go beyond 2020.

15 Climate change is very real. It is upon 16 us. And we need to begin right now in stopping, 17 slowing down, ending the use of fossil fuels. So while 18 we can talk about the need to mitigate the impact of 19 this particular railway, what is really at stake here 20 is a need to commit to moving on from the use of fossil 21 fuels.

The science has told us, there's no question that continuing burning fossil fuels is going to doom the planet. We can see that every day. We can see that in weather changes, in wildfire activity in 1 the west.

2

3

The approval of this project will continue this escalation of an assault on the climate that needs 4 to stop.

To quote Lin-Manual Miranda and his 5 6 Broadway show Hamilton, "History has its eyes on you." No longer can we deny science. No longer can we deny 7 the impact of climate change. It starts right here. 8 We need to deliver a message. It needs to be a 9 10 No-Action message as far as this project is concerned, 11 that we are not going to tolerate a continued assault 12 on the environment.

13 I urge you to vote No-Action and to shelf 14 this project. Thank you very much for your time.

15 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 16 comments.

17 Our next preregistered speaker is Melissa 18 Peck. Melissa Peck, P-e-c-k. Ms. Peck, I'm not seeing 19 you on the Zoom webinar feed. I see a hand raised for 20 call-in only user 3994. This might be Ms. Peck. 21 Caller user ending in 3994, I am going to ask you to 22 unmute.

23 MELISSA PECK: Can you hear me? 24 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, is this Ms. Peck? 25 MELISSA PECK: Yes.

Page 43

JENNIFER PIGGOTT:Great.Go ahead.Youhave three minutes.

3 MELISSA PECK: Thank you. I am a landowner 4 and a concerned citizen. I've checked out this -- this project and they're going to come right across the 5 bottom of our driveway going from one tunnel to the 6 next to get down to the flat that runs along Emma Park 7 and all that. Well, there is sage-grouse all over down 8 9 through there. And so I don't know how come they don't 10 take that into consideration when they took it into 11 consideration with the Craig route.

12 And a previous call there is a resident 13 from Duchesne is saying that everyone else needs to 14 stay out of his business and at this place the train is 15 going through a wasteland. That is very untrue. Yeah. 16 I -- I have property in Duchesne. And I have family in 17 the Basin. And there's -- there's part of the train 18 route that may be isolated more, but this is going 19 through a canyon that it's going to affect many people 20 in this canyon.

The tunnel, for one, is going to need three miles of one tunnel and then a second tunnel -- I don't know how long that is going to be. It's going to be another couple of miles. So it has got to one, raise the price of this project dramatically. And two, I

don't know if they're taking into effect all of the vibration that is going to ruin not only my spring that we rely on there for water -- we would have to probably pack our water in if something happens to our spring. That's our only source of water. And the vibration from the train being so close will ruin that.

7 The ventilation system for the tunnel is going to impact the environment. This is -- this is 8 9 carbon country. There's a lot of gases in the ground 10 and under the surface that they have no idea what's 11 That -- that's a huge concern. Also, the rails there. 12 in the summertime, it gets very dry through this area. 13 And I worry about fires being sparked and started. 14 That will box hundreds of people in that are there all summer long, and it could kill people. There won't be 15 16 enough time and places to get out if that were to start a fire in that canyon. 17

So there's a lot of things that still need 18 19 to be considered. I understand that there is SITLA and 20 other groups that have not finished their testing 21 either. So I think this is premature, and I am going 22 to vote for a -- a dismissal. I know -- what is the 23 term you are looking for, that is not coming to me? 24 Anyway, I vote against it. I don't think there should 25 be any action taken.

1 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 2 comments. 3 Okay, our next registered speaker is Jay 4 Griffith. Mr. Griffith, I will ask you to unmute. JAY GRIFFITH: Thank you. Thank you for 5 6 your presentation and your time and the opportunity to 7 I appreciate those who have gone before, Stan respond. and Monica and Dr. Brian and Mark. 8 9 Mark, I'm having a hard time understanding 10 some of the things you've said. I appreciate this last 11 speaker who is from the area. On so many levels, it is 12 difficult to understand how a project like this even 13 gets this far, knowing the circumstances of climate and 14 what -- over 90 percent of our scientists are telling 15 us. 16 We are on an urgent phase and unprecedented 17 time where humanity is causing global warming. Ιt 18 isn't coming from some other source. We -- we have an 19 impact that is -- is so dangerous right now. And so 20 many things have been mentioned that are important, 21 whether it's, you know, the sage-grouse on the ground 22 there locally or the pollution, both in that Basin and 23 that will be added to Salt Lake City proper and 24 everything in between, refineries. I just urge you to 25 reject this -- this proposal. It -- I -- I know it

makes sense financially for a few people, but it 1 2 doesn't make any sense for the majority of people near 3 and far. So that's all I have to say. Thank you for 4 the time. JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 5 6 comments. Okay. Our next speaker is Jeremy Raymond. 7 Mr. Raymond, I am going to ask you to unmute. 8 9 JEREMY RAYMOND: Yeah. Hi, Jennifer, can 10 you hear me? 11 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: We can hear you. Go 12 ahead. You have three minutes. 13 JEREMY RAYMOND: Thank you for this 14 opportunity. Jeremy Raymond, I live, work and play out in the Uinta Basin. I love it out here. 15 I raised my 16 family out here. I am 100 percent in support of this 17 rail project. This will help create jobs, sustain our 18 economy, at the same time protect our way of life. Ι 19 love the outdoors. I -- I didn't like science in high 20 school, but I understand that it is important. And I 21 think that along with the Coalition, the landowners, 22 the neighbors, that we can all find a common ground in 23 order to see this project come to fruition. 24 I, again, support this project 100 percent. 25 Our economy depends on oil and gas, and I would urge

Γ

1	you to see this project through and support it. Thank
2	you.
3	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
4	comments.
5	Ladies and gentlemen, we've heard from
б	everyone who registered to speak and still have some
7	time left. For those using the Zoom webinar feed,
8	please raise your hand by using the raise hand feature
9	located next to your name if you have not already
10	provided an oral comment and would like to provide an
11	oral comment this afternoon.
12	Okay, I'm seeing some hands raised. Johnny
13	Gills, I am going to ask you to unmute.
14	JOHNNY GILLS: Can you hear me now,
15	Jennifer?
16	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. Go
17	ahead. You have three minutes.
18	JOHNNY GILLS: Yeah, I'm John Gills, and I
19	live in Salt Lake but I am also a landowner in Duchesne
20	County and I spend a lot of time up there and have an
21	interest of what goes on up there also. So thank you
22	for the opportunity to comment today.
23	This is a project that's, you know, been
24	kicked around since the turn of the century, 1900s.
25	There's probably a reason it hasn't been done yet

Γ

1	because it's not really a viable project then, nor is
2	it really a viable project now.
3	I would just question why we're moving
4	forward with an exemption before the approval on this
5	project. The estimated cost for this project is
6	\$1.5 billion.
7	They estimate that it will have about 100
8	permanent jobs and 300 periphery jobs. And that comes
9	out to about \$3 million per job. It seems like we
10	could spend money in a better way than that.
11	The cost per mile is going to be
12	\$17 million, 85 miles long and a half a mile wide. It
13	just seems like this money could be spent in a better
14	place. There's also a lot of State and federal money
15	tied up in this. That also does not include the
16	maintenance costs, which are projected at \$4 million a
17	year.
18	The railroad will cut through only cut
19	60 miles off the road route. And a lot of money has
20	also been already invested into this project from the
21	UPCI funds, which are supposed to be spent to reinvest
22	money in projects other than the oil and gas industry
23	that raised them to mitigate and offset the problems
24	that the oil and gas industry cause. I don't think we
25	should be investing that money back into the same

industry that -- that caused the problem in the first 1 2 place. 3 We shouldn't be promoting more of the 4 same. We should be trying to move on in a 5 different direction. б Whenever anybody uses the word 7 "sustainable," you have to question it because the word sustainable actually means to maintain at the same 8 level, not add to the current problem. 9 10 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: 30 seconds remaining. 11 JOHNNY GILLS: I don't see how we can 12 sustain anything when all we're doing is adding to the 13 current problem. The Uinta Basin has some of the worst 14 air quality in the nation every single winter. Ιt affects old people. It affects children. It affects 15 16 people with respiratory problems. 17 Given the current status of our problems, this is evidently, certainly not a good idea. 18 I would 19 please urge you to reject this proposal. Thank you. 20 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 21 comments. Okay. 22 Our next speaker is Will Munger followed by 23 Jack Green, and then we have a call-in only user 4190 24 where their hand is raised. 25 Will Munger, Will, going to ask you to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

unmute.

ahead.

Hi, there, can you hear me? WILL MUNGER: JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. Go You have three minutes. Thank you. Well, thanks to WILL MUNGER: everyone for taking the time to chime in on this. These sorts of projects have long-term impacts, and I think that is an important thing to consider in a Draft EIS statement. We all understand that the point of this railroad is to increase Uinta Basin oil production. And I have friends who work in that industry, and I myself have worked out in the Uinta Basin in ranching. And yes, oil has powered the Uinta Basin for a long time, but that's not the future. As young people, we understand that we need to be moving toward a clean economy, and it's frustrating to see public money and private money being used to lock us into a future of dirty energy. And the thing that needs to be considered in a draft environmental impact statement is how that lock-in affects not only climate, not only air quality, not

only wildlife, not only water, but the long-term 24 economic future for the people who live in the Uinta Basin and live across Utah. 25

ſ

Page 5	1
--------	---

1	We need to be thinking about how we use our
2	money wisely and conservatively to transition into a
3	clean energy future. And so, while a number of the
4	details of the environmental impacts have been well put
5	today, I just want to think about the future of people
6	in the Uinta Basin, because when the when oil and
7	gas production is increased, what that means is that
8	those wells that are [audio distortion] are next to
9	native communities. They are next to rural
10	communities. And I really appreciate the locals who
11	are jumping on this call to talk about what that means
12	for their water and their livelihood.
13	And so I'll leave it there. Thank you for
14	the opportunity to comment and thank you for your
15	attention to listen to our concerns.
16	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
17	comments.
18	Okay. Our next speaker is Jack Green.
19	Mr. Green, I am going to ask you to unmute.
20	JACK GREEN: Yes. Thank you for allowing
21	us to express our views on this very critical matter.
22	First of all, I want to wish those in the
23	Uinta Basin the best. I have many friends out there.
24	I've spent time out there. I haven't worked there, but
25	just the same. Thank you for all those in the Uinta

Basin who have expressed their concerns. I'm joining many students, both college and secondary students, around the state who wish to compliment SITLA on its interest and investment on renewable energy, who have deep concerns. Also, these students who have deep concerns for their future in a climate compromised planet.

The School Trust Lands Administration is 8 9 reporting an incredible surge in interest in renewable 10 energy products, particularly solar, across the state 11 on parcels of land that it owns. We who have wind 12 leases and 23 solar leases with 2 more under review, 13 said Ron Torgeson, deputy assistant director for school 14 trust lands. There have been a steady flow of applications for school trust lands, which is good. 15 16 The administration will get money once a lease is 17 secured and then additional revenue after the project 18 is operational.

19 The last board of trustees's expert named 20 to serve for SITLA is a renewable energy expert 21 expected to guide the industry into new horizons that 22 include green hydrogen generated from solar energy 23 production. Torgeson has oversight of the ambitious 24 Castle Solar Lease which offers expansive development 25 in 450 acres that will not only include the features,

1	but a canal, a power substation and more. He said it
2	will easily be the most efficiently used parcel of land
3	within the administration's portfolio once complete.
4	Rocky Mountain Power's partner, DE Shaw
5	Renewable Energy Investments, has an accomplished track
6	record in the renewable energy arena. My students and
7	I urge you to deny in the proposed railway and invest
8	in a long-term economically viable energy solutions for
9	the Uinta Basin in the State of Utah. Thank you.
10	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
11	comments.
12	Our next speaker is a call-in user ending
13	in 4190. I'm going to ask you to unmute.
14	DARRELL FORDHAM: This is Darrel Fordham.
15	Can you hear me okay?
16	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, Mr. Fordham, you
17	have three minutes. Go ahead.
18	DARRELL FORDHAM: Okay. My name is Darrell
19	Fordham. During the other four public comment meetings
20	that were previously held for the Uinta Basin Railroad
21	Draft EIS, we've heard from several individuals who are
22	in support of the railway, primarily Uinta Basin
23	residents and Roosevelt and Vernal economic
24	development, government officials.
25	While I understand and empathize with the

desire to spur job creation and economic growth in the Uinta Basin, I feel that the Seven County Infrastructure Coalition and other government officials who are in support of the project have lied to the public and deliberately misled them in order to garner public support for the project.

7 We have heard claims that the railway will take trucks off of the highway. Most of the public has 8 9 interpreted this to indicate that the railway would 10 eliminate the tankers hauling crude oil on Highway 40 11 from the Basin to Salt Lake City. This is 12 categorically false. Salt Lake City refineries do not 13 have the capabilities to off load crude oil shipped via 14 rail. Nor do they have the space to construct one or 15 the appetite to invest in one.

16 The fact is that the railway will result in 17 an exponential increase in heavy truck traffic in local 18 highways throughout the Basin, which will be a 19 significant impact on local residents and county 20 governments tasked with road maintenance and repair. 21 Instead of alleviating the impacts of mineral 22 extraction on the community, this project will instead 23 place significant budgetary burdens on the city and 24 county governments.

25

Who will pay for the required road

1 maintenance, road improvements, new road construction 2 and additional traffic lights and other safety measures 3 that will be required to keep the public safe? The 4 Draft EIS mentions none of these impacts and makes no provisions for mitigation of them. 5 This is but one 6 example of how the Coalition has misused federal 7 mineral use money for planning this project, a project which will expand negative impacts on Uinta Basin 8 9 communities instead of lessening them, a direct 10 violation of the Mineral Lease Act. 11 The planning for this project and the Draft 12 EIS also failed to address the need for transloading 13 roadway to serve the railway. The cost for 14 transloading facilities for crude oil and for the public's goods are not included in the project 15 16 planning, project cost estimates or environmental 17 impact studies. 18 The Coalition and other government 19 officials have repeatedly claimed the railway will be a

20 common-carrier railway, but they have no money and no 21 plans for transloading facilities for commodities other 22 than oil and supplies related directly to oil and gas 23 production. In fact, even the oil producers have not 24 yet put any money towards planning, designing and 25 building the required transloading facilities to serve

their own interests of shipping crude oil, which is the 1 2 obvious purpose of this railway. 3 It is ludicrous to suggest or believe that 4 the oil companies are going to spend their own money to build facilities or lumber, agricultural products, 5 steel or other goods that will allegedly be shipped on 6 7 this railway. The oil companies haven't spent a penny of their own money to plan and support the project. 8 So why should the public believe that they will spend the 9 10 money on transloading facilities that do not reflect their own interests? 11 12 Thirty seconds JENNIFER PIGGOTT: 13 remaining. The fact is that this 14 DARRELL FORDHAM: project is so speculative that private investors have 15 16 refused to invest their own money in the planning, 17 permitting and preliminary engineering of the project. These private investors instead relied on the public 18 19 money that has been misappropriated by the Coalition 20 and the Utah Permanent Community Impact Fund Board that 21 is, essentially, being gambled on the railway project. 22 The fact that private investors and private 23 oil companies have, to date, refused to invest their 24 own money speaks volumes about the precarious nature of 25 this project and its questionable financial viability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Thank you. JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your comments. Okay, our next speaker, John -- is it Prehn, P-r-e-h-n. I am going to ask you to unmute. JOHN PREHN: Can you hear me? It's Prehn. JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Sorry, Mr. Prehn. have three minutes. JOHN PREHN: Thank you very much. It occurred to me listening to the comments maybe this is a Monte Python spoof or a maybe Borat pilot. This project is, obviously, the most backward, corrupt, dirty and cynical project you could possibly imagine for Utah. And so all I can think of is that it's some kind of test for the citizenry to see what the bottom level of acceptance could possibly be. So, I think all you have to do is just look at it as it was put forward in the presentation.

19 takes you 30 seconds to realize that it's completely 20 nonviable and a complete step backward into the dark 21 So, I would vote no on this project. I think it ages. 22 should be canceled today. And No-Action is the only 23 action. Thank you very much.

24 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 25 comments.

You

Ιt

Page 58

1	Our next speaker is Sarah Stock. Ms.
2	Stock, I am going to ask you to unmute.
3	SARAH STOCK: Hello. Can you hear me?
4	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. Go
5	ahead. You have three minutes.
6	All right. Thank you. I guess I would
7	like to my name is Sarah Stock and today I'm
8	representing an organization called Living Rivers.
9	We're based in southeastern Utah, but we focus a lot on
10	protecting the water sheds of the Colorado River,
11	including the Green River and the Duchesne and the
12	Price.
13	And I was I went to I share many of
14	the concerns that were voiced here today, especially
15	about the impacts of increased oil and gas production
16	that would be possible only because of this project in
17	the Uinta Basin. And those those impacts include
18	air quality and climate change. But today I'd like to
19	speak more specifically about water and water
20	resources.
21	So the railway itself would have direct
22	impacts on almost 450 miles or there would be almost
23	450 stream crossings. And we need to we need to
24	take care of and preserve our perennial streams in
25	Utah. We are the second most arid state in the nation.

And these perennial waterways are incredibly important
 for big game and fish species and birds and all kinds
 of things.

4 So the fact that the railway would run almost right on top of Indian Canyon Creek for almost 5 6 its entire duration is a huge concern to us. Also, 7 where the rail line would connect to the existing railway up near Soldier Summit, up in the mountains, is 8 9 right on the Price River where there are these special wetland environments. And so we're really concerned 10 11 about the loss of wetlands, the habitat associated with 12 that and the impacts on water quality. And then, above and beyond the railway itself, the increased drilling 13 14 for oil and gas in the Uinta Basin depletes the waters in that area, and it also pollutes the waters in that 15 16 And the Colorado River system -- as I said area. before, the Duchesne River, the Indian Creek flows into 17 the Duchesne River, the Duchesne flows into the Green 18 19 River and that flows into the Colorado River. The 20 whole Colorado River system supports nearly 40 million 21 people and agriculture.

So to increase oil and gas production in the Uinta Basin is folly. And this project should be denied on those grounds. The impacts to water are too great. The impacts on climate change are too great.

Page 60

1 And the impacts on wildlife are also very great. 2 Anyway, thank you for gathering these 3 comments today. That's all I have. 4 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 5 comments. 6 Okay, our next speaker is a call-in only 7 user ending in 3225. Call-in user ending in 3225. I 8 am going to ask you to unmute. 9 RAPHAEL CORDRAY: Hi -- [audio distortion.] 10 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I believe this might be 11 Raphael Cordray. It's very, very soft. We're not able 12 to hear you. 13 RAPHAEL CORDRAY: Can you hear me now? 14 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, yes. Go ahead. 15 You have three minutes. 16 RAPHAEL CORDRAY: Okay. Well, thank you 17 for allowing me to speak. I am -- my name is Raphael Cordray, and I have spent years and years out in the 18 19 Uinta Basin, and I am concerned about this project. Ι 20 don't think that we should build the railroad. I'm 21 sure that's clear. One -- but I want to bring up 22 another issue that is concerning me. 23 I feel that the STV should grant the extension of time for folks to look into this longer. 24 25 And I don't know why they're delaying doing that. I am

1 concerned that -- that -- that I -- I want to know what 2 is being done to include the residents of the Uintah 3 Ouray Reservation. Why is there no copies showing up 4 on the reservation? The website says you have got one 5 at every library in that area, but nothing at the 6 Uintah Ouray Reservation.

7 I don't speak for the tribe. I'm not a member of the tribe, but I do want to say for the 8 9 record, that there are many concerns about the lack of 10 inclusion of the people of the Ute Indian Tribe. And 11 there are also people who live on the Uintah Ouray 12 Reservation who are not registered members of the 13 tribe, including the Uintah Valley Shoshone tribe. And 14 they are not here at the table. I am concerned about 15 that.

16 How are the people at the tribe being 17 contacted? The Ute reservation has temporarily closed 18 some of its government functions because of Covid. And 19 I don't believe they're given a proper opportunity to 20 participate in this process. And I -- I think that 21 needs to be raised as an issue. They're a huge part of 22 the people who live in that area and will be the most 23 impacted by that -- by the environmental impacts. They 24 suffer from purple air days.

And I also just want to reflect that the

25

1 Seven County Infrastructure Coalition and other local 2 people in the basin claim that the Ute tribe wants this 3 railway. But why don't we hear people from the Ute 4 tribe saying that? 5 I also am concerned that the Seven County 6 Infrastructure Coalition is part of a group with other 7 states claiming to be helping native tribes get energy development and, you know, I just feel like they're 8 9 dishonest and disingenuous and I would --10 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thirty seconds 11 remaining. 12 RAPHAEL CORDRAY: -- that you put more 13 information for people on the reservation of where they 14 can physically look at the environmental impact 15 statement and expand the comment period and time frame 16 into next year. Thank you. 17 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 18 comments. 19 Ladies and gentlemen, we've heard from 20 everyone who requested to speak and still have some 21 time left. For those on the Zoom webinar feed, please 22 raise your hand by using the raise hand feature located 23 next to your name if you have not already provided an 24 oral comment and would like to. For our call-in users, 25 press star 9 if you would like to provide an oral

Page	63
------	----

1	comment and I will call on you as time permits.
2	(Pause.)
3	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Okay. Ms. Wright, I see
4	your hand is raised. I am going to ask you to unmute.
5	MS. WRIGHT: Hello?
6	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes. Ms. Wright, go
7	ahead. You have three minutes.
8	MS. WRIGHT: Yes. I don't have a prepared
9	statement, and I'm speaking only for myself. I I am
10	speaking in favor of the No-Action Alternative. I
11	think this is a really devastating idea to put a rail
12	line in. And I think that there are better ways to
13	move this crude to processing and I I really I
14	I think that devastating effects on the environmental
15	are just paramount to put a rail line through this
16	area, especially through sage-grouse lek and across the
17	streams and on and on and on. And environmentally, I
18	think it is bad, even for the humans. And I'm just
19	[audio distorted] that money has been given towards
20	this project as supposedly a means to mitigate mineral
21	impacts on the on the residents of the area.
22	Because, in truth, this is I don't see how in any
23	way, shape or form this is mitigating any impacts,
24	economically or otherwise on any residents in the area.
25	In fact, it's it's doing an opposite effect of

Γ

1	imposing what is proposed and posing terrible effects
2	upon the environment and also have terrible effects on
3	the environmental health of people in the area. And
4	that concludes my comments. Thank you.
5	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
6	comments.
7	Ladies and gentlemen, we've heard from
8	everyone who requested to speak and still have some
9	time left. Is there anyone who's already spoken who
10	would like to elaborate on their previous comments?
11	Okay, Mr. Fordham, I see your hand is
12	raised again. I am going to ask you to unmute.
13	DARRELL FORDHAM: Okay. I would just like
14	to expand on my comments previously. I would just like
15	to ask, have any of the members of the public,
16	specifically those of you who are in support of this
17	project, asked yourself why the private equity firms
18	and private oil companies haven't paid for the planning
19	of this project?
20	Have any of you asked why \$28 million of
21	mineral lease monies have been risked and gambled on
22	planning this project, with no guarantees that the
23	project will be approved or that it will actually pay
24	off to construct, operate and maintain it, especially
25	during times when crude oils are low?

Pag	е	65
i ay	0	00

1 Why haven't you asked these questions? Т 2 have, over and over and over for the past 20 months. 3 My questions have been ignored and deflected and answered with half-truths and with redacted documents 4 with all pertinent financial information blotted out. 5 This should make every member of the public, as well as 6 the Surface Transportation Board, question the approval 7 of this project, especially with the identified and yet 8 to be discovered environmental and socioeconomic and 9 10 other impacts. 11 I strongly urge the Surface Transportation 12 Board to choose the No-Action Alternative. And if for 13 no other reason than that the Coalition has not proven 14 to the public that the proposed railway is economically 15 feasible or viable. 16 Until the Coalition provides verifiable 17 proof to the public and comes out of the shadows and out from behind their closed doors, this project should 18 19 either be suspended or the No-Action Alternative be 20 selected. 21 The Surface Transportation Board's role in 22 this entire process is to protect not only the 23 environment, but also the public. Everyone should be 24 asking how goods, including oil, are going to be 25 transloaded on and off this proposed railway.

The economic development officials from 1 2 Roosevelt and Vernal are on the record stating that the 3 railway will bring in future business and new 4 industries to the Uinta Basin. What industries? Which There should be volumes of information 5 companies? about companies who've expressed interest in moving 6 7 into the Basin, who are not currently there but for a lack of rail. 8 9 Who are these companies? How soon after 10 the railway is built will they be moving in? Have they committed to spending tens of millions of their own 11 12 dollars to build the transloading facilities that will 13 be required in order for them to utilize the railway? 14 Where are those commitments? They should

15 be in writing and should be publicly available. This 16 is a huge project with a -- with -- accompanied by 17 irreparable impacts that is proposed and planned and 18 studied with a Field-of-Dreams mentality that 19 somehow --

20

JENNIFER PIGGOTT: 30 seconds remaining.

21 -- "if we build it, they will come." This 22 is not some Hollywood movie. This doesn't only affect 23 a single farm or a single corn field somewhere in the 24 middle of Iowa. The Surface Transportation Board 25 should also be requiring the Coalition to provide such

Γ

1	information and documentation to approve such a massive
2	project like this with its accompanying myriad of
3	environmental, socioeconomic and other impacts, most of
4	which cannot and will not be completely and effectively
5	mitigated, is highly irresponsible at this juncture.
6	And I'll suspend my questions there.
7	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
8	comments.
9	Okay. Our next speaker is Jan Ellen
10	Burton. Ms. Burton, I am going to ask you to unmute.
11	JAN ELLEN BURTON: Can you hear me?
12	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. Go
13	ahead. You have three minutes.
14	JAN ELLEN BURTON: Thank you. My name is
15	Jan Ellen Burton, and I live in Salt Lake City. And I
16	was blind-sided by this audacious plan to build the
17	Uinta Basin railway. The EIS for the railway contains
18	a myriad of aspects which are of concern, including
19	impacts to surface water, wetlands, wildlife and noise,
20	much of which have already been discussed by others.
21	Given the range of known and potential
22	problems, the plan to mitigate problems as they occur,
23	appears weak at best.
24	In 1989, I bought land above Argyle Canyon
25	right near the juncture of Highway 191 because of the

1 relatively wild lands. Cabins in the area were small 2 and there were elk, deer, bear, hawks and owl and 3 coyote in residence. I could hear the birds in the 4 bushes as I walked along the Forest Service Road and 5 passed in the Ashley National Forest. 6 In a time in which many species are

7 endangered, the destruction of an expanse of this 8 habitat and water sources seemed unimaginable, let 9 alone the estimated cost of \$1.5 to \$4.5 billion to 10 destroy these lands.

The March 3, 2020, contribution to a compilation of articles accessible on the Utah and Native Plant Society's website, indicates that there are at least six sensitive plant species in Argyle Canyon. I personally have seen two of these. And I would regularly search for a good rich columbine beneath a particular tree when I go to my property.

18 This article written by Brian Beam, the 19 Center for Biological Diversity, and Tony Flats, the 20 Utah Native Plant Society, also shows a picture of a 21 fire. Of course, all of these native plants are at 22 risk in the event of a fire as is the wildlife. This 23 fire occurred in Argyle Canyon in 2012. The same fire 24 burned in Indian Canyon threatening the closing of 25 Highway 191. It wasn't closed, and it wasn't the first

1 fire to burn in this area. 2 The Whitmore Alternative is preferred for a 3 number of seemingly good reasons. Specifically, it 4 reportedly minimizes impacts to wetlands to the extent 5 that is practical, whatever that means, creates fewer 6 impacts to the sage-grouse and avoids more of the 7 residential areas. And I would add that the land on either side of Route 191 is also less forested than 8 9 much of Argyle Canyon and it might not burn as easily. 10 However, it is not denuded land and the potential of fire is not at all well addressed in this document. 11 12 Safety is considered in terms of minimizing 13 train derailments or spills, but the risk for 14 significant wildfire is rated as low, as mitigating emergency plans will be put in place. We have all seen 15 16 evidence that the effects of wildfires are not easily 17 negated. This area of Utah has been incredibly dry for 18 a number of years and it will likely continue to be. 19 I no longer own property there, but it's my 20 former neighborhood, and the question is not whether 21 there will be a big fire, but when? That is why the 22 Forest Service has been actively cutting dead wood. 23 Our billions of dollars for a railway may 24 lead to greater costs for repairs for the railway and 25 in the event of a spark or another event --

1 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: 30 seconds remaining. 2 -- triggering a fire. JAN ELLEN BURTON: 3 Okay. Thank you. 4 I'm not sure that oil and gas-related jobs in the Uinta Basin is a good return for this amount of 5 6 Actually, I am fairly sure a good investment money. advisor would not think so. So I urge you to take no 7 action, and thank you for the opportunity to comment. 8 9 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 10 comments. 11 Our next speaker is Lionel Trepanire. Ι 12 ask you to unmute. 13 LIONEL TREPANIRE: Hello, and good 14 afternoon. This is Lionel Trepanire with the Utah Tar 15 Sands Resistance. 16 I am very thankful for the comments that we've heard this afternoon from concerned citizens 17 18 wherever they are and residents and visitors to the 19 Basin. 20 I myself have been a visitor to the Basin 21 for, oh, going on near a decade now. And I'm going to 22 first address the Surface Transportation Board 23 regarding the Uinta Basin Railway Environmental Impact 24 Statement and ask that the -- and particularly 25 Mr. Wayland, to extend the comment period on this

environmental impact statement regarding this oil
 railroad. And there's a lot of reasons to extend this
 comment period, but let me just talk about a couple of
 them.

The difficulty registering for the online 5 б meetings appoints to increasing the opportunity for a 7 public comment by extending the period. The difficulty getting into these meetings -- the greatest part I 8 9 believe has been caused by an unnecessary filtering of 10 participation through registration at Eventbrite. And 11 I've spoken with Mr. Wayland directly regarding this 12 matter, but I just wanted to be on the record that 13 telling people through the Eventbrite web page, up to 14 an hour prior to that meeting, having told the people that the tickets to the meeting were closed was wrong 15 16 and prevented public participation in this meeting. Ι think that should be corrected in the future and more 17 clear and direct information on how to participate in 18 19 these electronic meetings should be provided to the 20 public.

Also, the comment period should be extended regarding the oil -- the Uinta Basin oil railroad environmental impact statement by the sheer size of that environmental impact statement and the ongoing health emergency actually declared in the federal

government, health emergency, that is the Covid-19.
With the size of the environmental impact statements
and the limited amount of the ability of people to
review that document and materials in a reasonable
matter also justifies an extension of the comment
period.

JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thirty secondsremaining.

9 LIONEL TREPANIRE: I am just getting 10 There is so much more to say. I want to say started. 11 that this -- that the Board -- I urge you to deny this 12 project, deny a permission for this project because of 13 the devastating climate change, impacts that the 14 project would certainly cause and also because there's not a -- in the future, there's not the public need to 15 16 support this railroad.

And finally, I would urge the Board to deny the request for an exemption because the use of this railroad currently is merely speculative. And the transportation merits proceeding is justified in this instance. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
comments.
Our next speaker, Johnny Giles. I see your

25

hand is raised that you would like to expand upon your

1	comments. I am going to ask you to unmute.
2	JOHNNY GILES: Hi, can you hear me,
3	Jennifer?
4	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. Go
5	ahead. You have another three minutes.
б	JOHNNY GILES: Yeah, I would just like to
7	expand on John Prehn's comments and this is kind of
8	like a Monte Python show and possibly the worst project
9	ever.
10	I would just have to add that possibly the
11	bridge project should be good competition to it, the
12	worst project ever in the nomination. They want to
13	provide an 85-mile road through the Book Cliffs just
14	east of this project, which would also be a huge
15	environmental impact. Both of these projects would be
16	funded by the UPCI fund, which should not be used for
17	these type of projects.
18	Another project that probably would be in
19	the running for worst ever projects is the inland port
20	in Salt Lake City. And all these projects are promoted
21	by a very small handful of people in Utah including the
22	Utah Legislature. And the citizens of Utah should just
23	be very concerned about projects like this that spend
24	millions and millions of dollars by people on boards
25	and in committees that are not elected by citizens.

	Page 74	
an		

1 So I would just extend to everybody 2 invitation to do some more homework on these projects. 3 That's my comment. 4 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your 5 comments. 6 I see we have a hand raised by a 7 participant identified as "Idaho." I am going to ask 8 you to unmute. 9 Unmute? Can you hear me now? IDAHO: 10 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I can hear you. You 11 have three minutes. 12 Okay. My main concern is simple as IDAHO: 13 this. I don't know if you are familiar with the Short 14 Line Railroad line that goes from the UP system up to INL, Idaho National Laboratories. Idaho National 15 16 Laboratories a number of years ago stopped them from 17 putting on the -- [audio distortion]. 18 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Ma'am, I apologize for 19 interrupting, but it's very difficult -- most of what 20 you are saying is not coming through clearly, at all. 21 And we are not able to make out what you are saying. 22 Are you able to get closer to a microphone or perhaps 23 call in? 24 IDAHO: Well, I am on a -- [audio 25 distortion] now. I'm looking at better signal aspects.

Page 75

1	Am I talking too fast? Is it a signal issue?
2	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: I'm not sure. You can
3	try again.
4	IDAHO: Try again. Yes. Continue now?
5	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, please do.
6	IDAHO: I was saying I will make it
7	short. The railroad track of the UP railroad system,
8	Union Pacific has a number of locations throughout the
9	west where they put uranium materials as storage and
10	also as ballast on the railroad tracks. And I'm sure
11	Utah has considerable amounts of it because Arizona
12	made them remove it and so did INL in Idaho on those
13	Short Line.
14	We would very much not to see that used in
15	any way whatsoever in the Uinta Basin. And since you
16	have the monies, we're also concerned that you actually
17	do the readings on the track balasts because these
18	things will leach into streams, other ways they get off
19	of the tracks and contaminate a much larger area.
20	Now, this stuff is put on all over the west
21	by the Union Pacific Railroad. Millions of tons are
22	spread out there. And so we're concerned that you in
23	Utah take a closer look at your tracks and where it is
24	and look at removal and in no way spread more of it
25	into the Uinta Basin.

1	My full name is Idaho Law. And I'm a
2	candidate for U.S. Senate, Idaho. And I am a
3	specialist in this area. Thank you for your time and
4	your awareness. And we will take a closer look at the
5	railroad lines in Utah and see what kind of public data
6	has been done by citizen scientists or EPA or anybody
7	else regarding the locations of materials [audio
8	distortion] Can you hear me?
9	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes. Thank you for your
10	comments.
11	Ladies and gentlemen, we've heard from
12	everyone who's requested to speak and still have some
13	time remaining. Is there anyone who hasn't already
14	spoken who would like to provide a comment this
15	afternoon?
16	William Ingals, I see your hand is raised.
17	I am going to ask you to unmute.
18	WILLIAM INGALS: Hello?
19	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes, go ahead. You have
20	three minutes.
21	WILLIAM INGALS: Yes. This will be very
22	short. I believe the environmental impact statement
23	should consider the full impact of exploration,
24	drilling and production, plus the eventual combustion
25	of fossil fuels on the environment.

Γ

Page	77
------	----

1	With our climate at a tipping point and air
2	quality in the Uinta Basin already exceeding federal
3	standard, a four-fold increase of fossil fuels
4	production along with exploration and drilling will
5	contribute considerably to the greenhouse emission and
6	climate change. And that's all I have. Thank you.
7	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
8	comments.
9	Mr. Law, I see your hand is raised again.
10	I am going to ask you to unmute.
11	IDAHO LAW: Okay. Can you hear me clearly?
12	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes.
13	IDAHO LAW: Okay. I'm just concerned about
14	what access I would have for these testimonies and this
15	information. I am a reporter too, and I like to make
16	public documents with my team of editors and especially
17	in certain topic areas, even the ones in Utah about
18	information shared by the public. So I would like
19	access, hopefully in digital form, for some of that
20	testimony or as much as we deem worthy or concerned of
21	with our editors and people who work on the stories and
22	information for Utah and other elements that were
23	mentioned in my reporting earlier.
24	So where would I have access to that since
25	this is a public hearing? Collecting public

1	transcripts or public data, and we would like access to
2	that. So, this is more of a question of information
3	than testimony.
4	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Yes. Additional
5	information can be found on the project website at
6	www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com. And eventually the final
7	EIS will also be posted there.
8	IDAHO LAW: What about the testimonies of
9	individuals? Will that be present there? And if so,
10	when? The final EIS report, final impact reports do
11	not always contain testimonies. Sometimes they just
12	contain topic-grouped areas. I know from my
13	participation in the past.
14	So, when, and will these testimonies, and
15	will they be as individuals available?
16	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Okay. Thank you for
17	your comments. Again, additional information will be
18	made public on the project website at
19	www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com.
20	IDAHO LAW: Okay. Thank you.
21	JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you.
22	Mr. Fordham, I also see that your hand is
23	raised to elaborate on your previous comments. I am
24	going to ask you to unmute.
25	DARRELL FORDHAM: Yeah. To continue my

1 comments, Drexel Hamilton Infrastructure Partners, the 2 private equity firm that is allegedly going to finance 3 the construction of this railway has not signed a 4 contract with the committed funds in place to construct 5 the railway.

б The current contract with the Coalition 7 allows them up to five years to put the financing in place with an option for an additional five-year 8 9 extension beyond that. If this railway is so needed 10 for the oil industry and the other Field-of-Dreams 11 industries that will purportedly come after it is 12 built, then where is their money? Why isn't there a 13 secured contract backed by secured financing in place 14 for this project? Why hasn't Drexel Hamilton paid for the planning already and gambled their own \$27.9 15 16 million on the project instead of the Coalition 17 gambling the public's money on it?

The fact is that if Drexel Hamilton decides not to proceed with the project, the \$29.9 million that the Coalition has spent planning the project will be wasted.

Nearly \$28 million of public money, money that could have and should have been used to pay for utility projects, police and fire and municipal buildings, rural health facilities, et cetera,

1 throughout all of rural Utah. Do those of you who live 2 in rural Utah understand that? I don't think that you 3 do. Because the Coalition has gone to great lengths to 4 assure the public that this money will not be wasted 5 and lost if the construction on this project does not 6 commence.

7 Come on people. Follow the money. No one 8 should be given approval to construct a project of this 9 size and scope without first having guaranteed funds in 10 place, not only to cover the cost of construction, but, 11 also, to absolutely guarantee that all of the required 12 mitigation measures are implemented in their entirety.

13 Should the Surface Transportation Board 14 approve any alternative other than the No-Action Alternative, it should and must be contingent upon the 15 16 Coalition verified financing for the project to include 17 all mitigation costs. And it should be stipulated that the monies required for mitigation be held in trust and 18 19 be set aside prior to construction commencement. So if 20 construction commences and is then delayed or abandoned 21 entirely, money exists to fully mitigate and remediate 22 any and all associated impacts.

The Coalition itself does not have sufficient financial resources requisite to pay for the mitigation costs associated with a project of this

Page 81

1 | scale.

9

In addition, it is likely that the public and private landowners would be faced with funding an extremely expensive legal battle in order to force the Coalition and/or Drexel Hamilton and its partners to pay for the mitigation and remediation that may be required. For all of the public, specifically Uinta Basin residents --

JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thirty seconds.

10 DARRELL FORDHAM: -- are you willing to 11 foot the bill if construction commences and isn't 12 completed due to unanticipated construction costs, low 13 crude oil prices, changes in crude oil market 14 conditions, and lack of investor confidence, bankruptcy, recession, future pandemics or many other 15 16 possible factors which could delay or suspend 17 construction? Are you willing to bear incredibly expensive tax increases to pay for mitigation of this 18 19 project should the project investors and proponents 20 declare bankruptcy and go belly-up before the project 21 is completed and generating revenue?

Have you thought about the possibilities? Have you thought about the possibilities? Who is going to protect the public and the environment? Who has the millions of dollars to fight the project proponents in court should they suspend or abandon the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

December 01, 2020 Page 8
project after it is commenced? Are you personally
willing to foot that bill
JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Time.
DARRELL FORDHAM: I'm not.
JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your
comments. Our next speaker is Mike Hyde. Mr. Hyde, I
am going to ask you to unmute.
MIKE HYDE: Hello, this is Mike Hyde. I'm
a resident Roosevelt, Utah, one of the few speakers
today who actually lives in the project area.
What we have heard today are not
substantive comments, but the height of hypocrisy. The
people from the Salt Lake area lecturing us in the
Uinta Basin on air pollution, climate change, water
quality, et cetera, while they themselves live in the
midst of the most polluted area of the state. During
the winter, you have to chew the air in Salt Lake
before you can breathe it. And that air drifts

19 eastward into the Uinta Basin and contributes to our 20 wintertime ozone problems.

There's a full range of infrastructure in 21 22 the Salt Lake valley, including railways, freeways and 23 airports, which have enabled that area to prosper 24 economically. In contrast, the Uinta Basin has been 25 left behind when it comes to such prosperity, the main

reason is that the basin is subject to the booms and 1 2 busts of the oil and gas industry. Due to the lack of 3 transportation infrastructure, we in the basin are at a 4 competitive disadvantage when it comes to attracting new companies that might help diversify our economy. 5 б Duchesne County and Uintah County have been 7 found by the department of workforce services to have the least diversified economies in the state. 8 If the 9 oil and gas industry dies as some of the climate change 10 activists speaking today suggest, the rail line will 11 still be here to help support new industries who might 12 be attracted here for our better quality of life, less 13 crime, less traffic and lower land costs. 14 The DEIS does a great job of analyzing the environmental impacts and how mitigation would minimize 15 16 those impacts. I am in full support of the project. 17 Thank you. Thank you for your 18 JENNIFER PIGGOTT: 19 comments. 20 Mr. Fordham, I see your hand raised. I am 21 going to ask you to unmute. 22 DARRELL FORDHAM: Yeah. Just a couple of 23 final sentences. I'm just asking, you know, is the Ute 24 Indian tribe prepared to foot the bill since they will 25 be equity partners in the construction and operation of

1 the railway? Both our federal, state and local 2 governments exist to protect the public and the 3 environment from such possibilities. Yet, I can find 4 nothing in any of the project planning permitting 5 procedures or Draft EIS to address and accommodate such 6 possibilities. Why not? Thank you.

JENNIFER PIGGOTT: Thank you for your comments.

7

8

9 Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for 10 participating in this online public meeting. All 11 comments, whether submitted orally, electronically 12 through the project website or in writing through the 13 U.S. Mail will receive equal consideration in preparing 14 the final EIS. Please submit your comments electronically via the Board-sponsored public website 15 16 at www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com or you can mail your comments to Josh Wayland, Surface Transportation Board, 17 c/o ICF, 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, 18 19 Environmental filing, Docket No. FD 36284. Attention:

To be considered in the final EIS, all substantive comments should be received or postmarked by the close of the comment period on December 14th, 23 2020 . Remember that the Draft EIS is available on the Board-sponsored project website at www.uintabasinrailwayeis.com and at libraries in the

Page	85
i ugo	00

1	project area.
2	Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your
3	participation and interest. This meeting is adjourned.
4	(Adjourned at 4:02 p.m.)
5	-00000-
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: \$1.5
_	– 14th 5:8 22:13,16	30th 22:7,12
\$	_ 150 34:17	31 24:20
\$1.5 48:6 68:9	1800s 40:5	3225 60:7
\$17 48:12	19 14:19	36284 5:15 22:4,5 23:16,
\$20 28:13	1900s 47:24	17
\$27.9 79:15	191 12:18 13:24 67:25	3994 42:20,21
\$28 64:20 79:22	68:25 69:8	4
\$29.9 79:19	1989 67:24	
\$3 48:9	2	4 20:7,13
\$4 48:16		4,000 21:16,17
\$4.5 68:9	2 52:12	40 54:10 59:20
\$53 28:11	20 65:2	4190 49:23 53:13
	200 34:5	443 39:7
-	2012 34:2 68:23	45 22:12
- 00o- 2:3	2013 34:2	450 52:25 58:22,23
	2019 14:19 15:1	48 6:22
0	- 2020 2:1 5:8 15:11 22:12, 13 40:7 41:14 68:11	5
05649357 3:8	21st 38:11	56 20:6 0
1	22031 5:14 23:15	56 20:6,9
•	- 23 52:12	6
1 2:1	25 30:8	6 00:00
1,000 30:14	2:00 2:1,5	6 26:23
1,600 32:21		60 48:19
10 34:15	3	61 39:7
10,000 32:18	3 68:11	7
10.52 11:16	3.68 11:15	
100 34:7,15 46:16,24 48:7	30 15:11 49:10 57:19 66:20 70:1	73 20:11
103 13:15	30,000 34:11	8
11,000 35:3	30,000-foot 41:10	81 12:25
13 13:14 34:12	300 34:5 48:8	85 48:12

85-mile 10:20 73:13
874-8149-6515 3:8
877-853-5247 3:7
88 14:12
9
9 4:23 24:8 27:2,12,17 40:18 62:25
90 29:1 45:14
9300 5:13 23:14
Α
abandonment 9:14
ability 72:3
acceptance 57:16
access 9:1 20:20 77:14 19,24 78:1
accessible 68:12
accompanied 66:16
accompanying 67:2

accomplished 53:5

accounts 29:1

acknowledge 6:25

acquisition 9:14 18:9

acres 32:18,21 52:25

Act 10:1 11:19 17:9 28:10 55:10

action 11:21 12:4,7,9 15:4 16:22,23 17:1,6,11 18:15 19:12 21:4 30:25 44:25 57:23 70:8

actively 69:22

activities 18:10 29:5

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 activity 34:3 41:25 add 24:9 32:5 49:9 69:7 73:10 added 28:21 45:23 adding 49:12 addition 12:8 18:16 20:9 29:5,13 additional 20:11.15 52:17 55:2 78:4,17 79:8 Additionally 3:12 address 55:12 70:22 addressed 69:11 addressing 15:6 41:2 adjudicatory 9:12 administration 52:8,16 administration's 53:3 admissions 29:12 advance 28:3 advanced 28:13 advantage 31:18 Adverse 18:8 advisor 70:7 Affairs 10:7 affect 17:2,4,7 18:12 39:7 43:19 66:22 affected 28:19 32:19 affects 49:15 50:22 afternoon 2:12 3:14,22 6:6 24:16 26:22 27:1,11 33:20 40:15,23,25 47:11 70:14,17 76:15 afternoon's 7:14

agencies 7:2,7 8:17 10:3, 4,5,11 11:20 15:3 16:3,4,

Index: 85-mile..American 7 17:10 19:9 25:11 28:3 agency 7:9 8:6,16 9:9,10 10:5 14:21 agenda 8:14 ages 57:21 aggravated 29:11 agricultural 11:10 56:5 agriculture 59:21 ahead 8:1 31:10 33:23 36:1 37:13 38:23 43:1 46:12 47:17 50:4 53:17 58:5 60:14 63:7 67:13 73:5 76:19 air 16:17 19:6 29:15,16 33:2,5,11 34:22 49:14 50:22 58:18 61:24 77:1 alert 28:24 allegedly 56:6 79:2 alleviating 54:21 allowing 51:20 60:17 alternative 11:3 12:5,6,7, 11,15,16,22,24 13:4,7,9, 13,20,22,23 14:1,2,6,7,8, 11,15 15:7,8 16:8 17:2,4, 16 18:1,2,3,14,17,21,22, 23 19:10,11,12,14,15,23 30:17.18 32:12 39:5.6 63:10 65:12,19 69:2 Alternatively 23:9 alternatives 11:21.25 12:3,4,5,8,9 14:22 15:5 16:22,23 17:1,6,11 18:15 21:4 39:11

ambient 34:22 ambitious 52:23 American 33:10 amount 32:1 70:5 72:3 amounts 75:11 analysis 2:7,16 6:3,9 8:10 9:22 19:8 25:7,9 39:10 analyzed 8:23 14:22 16:11 analyzes 15:3 19:3 Angeles 34:8 Animals 37:1 annually 30:15 anticipates 11:4 apologize 33:20 74:18 appears 67:23 appetite 54:15 applicant 8:19 10:17 applications 52:15 appoints 71:6 appreciation 25:3 approval 25:18,25 26:13 42:2 48:4 65:7 approve 67:1 approved 64:23 approximately 10:20 11:15,16 12:24 13:15 14:12 apps 3:14 area 14:3,10,24 17:2,5 18:25 19:18 29:20 30:3.4 32:19 34:8,11,12 44:12 45:11 59:15,16 61:5,22 63:16,21,24 64:3 68:1 69:1,17 75:19 76:3 areas 8:22 16:13 18:18 19:1,2,3,17 29:22,24

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 32:17.22 38:10 69:7 77:17 78:12 arena 53:6 Argyle 13:11 19:18 67:24 68:14,23 69:9 arid 58:25 Arizona 75:11 **Army** 10:6 aromatic 34:23 article 68:18 **articles** 68:12 **Ashley** 7:5 18:18 68:5 aspects 67:18 74:25 assault 42:3,11 assaults 38:7.8 assistance 2:21 assistant 52:13 asthma 29:11 atmosphere 29:8 atmospheric 34:4 attachments 21:15 attainment 28:23 29:20, 22,24 30:3,4 attention 5:14 23:15 51:15 audacious 67:16 audio 28:7 32:18 51:8 60:9 63:19 74:17,24 76:7 **Audubon** 37:17 authority 14:13 15:21,22, 24 22:8 authorize 9:17 10:13

16:1 19:21,22 20:3

Index: amount..basin authorized 16:8 28:11 authorizes 8:6 authors 34:14,21 average 11:17 Avery 6:25 avoid 13:20 14:4,8 19:12, 15 avoiding 17:16 avoids 69:6 aware 25:10 awareness 76:4

В

back 48:25 **backed** 79:13 background 34:6 backward 57:12,20 **bad** 36:16 63:18 bail 28:14 balasts 75:17 ballast 75:10 **band** 3:12 bankruptcy 28:15 **based** 19:8 58:9 basically 36:6,19,25 **basin** 2:8 6:10 7:16 8:3 9:10 10:14,15,21 11:1,6, 14,22,24 12:21 13:5 21:1,25 22:3 23:22 27:25 28:18,22,25 29:1,5,20 30:13 31:15,22 32:16,25 33:3 34:3,4,19 35:2,15 36:6 41:8 43:17 45:22 46:15 49:13 50:11,13,14,

25 51:6,23 52:1 53:9,20, 22 54:2,11,18 55:8 58:17 59:14,23 60:19 62:2 66:4,7 67:17 70:5,19,20, 23 71:22 75:15,25 77:2 **Beam** 68:18 bear 68:2 **Beck** 33:1 began 14:19 22:12 begin 4:9 9:7 41:16 **Bench** 10:22 12:16 13:6 **beneath** 68:17 Beneficial 18:6 beneficiaries 33:4 **Bennett** 40:21,23 benzene 29:15,16 bi-partisan 9:11 big 32:20 59:2 69:21 **billion** 34:17 48:6 68:9 billions 69:23 **biological** 16:15 19:6 39:15 68:19 **birds** 59:2 68:3 blind-sided 67:16 blindered 29:22 blocking 33:10 blotted 65:5 **board** 2:6,15 5:13 8:6,16 9:9,10,12,18,22 10:12 14:13 15:16,17,20,25 16:8 19:21,22 20:3,4,19 21:23 23:14 25:21 26:12 28:7 30:19 32:7 52:19 56:20 65:7,12 66:24 70:22 72:11,17

Board's 6:2.8 7:17 8:9 9:21 17:24 21:21,23 22:2 23:20 65:21 board-sponsored 5:11 7:20 20:23 22:23 23:8 boards 73:24 **body** 24:24 **Book** 73:13 boondoggle 31:19 Borat 57:11 borders 30:3 bottom 2:23 43:6 57:15 **bought** 67:24 **box** 21:13,15 44:14 Brian 33:21,22,25 45:8 68:18 **bridge** 73:11 **briefly** 16:9 23:1 bring 60:21 66:3 brings 23:18 **broad** 9:12 Broadway 42:6 brood-rearing 17:17 budgetary 54:23 build 56:5 60:20 66:12, 21 67:16 building 55:25 buildings 79:25 **built** 66:10 79:12 **bull** 36:19 **burdens** 54:23 Bureau 10:5,7 13:2,16 17:13 18:22,24

Index: Beam..canyon

burn 69:1,9 burned 68:24 burning 33:13 41:23 Burton 67:10,11,14,15 70:2 bushes 68:4 busier 33:1 business 43:14 66:3 businesses 38:11 buying 40:3

С

C-A-Z-I-E-R 27:15,18 c/o 5:13 23:14 Cabins 68:1 California 28:12 call 3:11 24:10 36:19 43:12 51:11 63:1 74:23 call-in 4:23 24:7 27:1,11, 17 40:17 42:20 49:23 53:12 60:6,7 62:24 called 4:16,25 6:24 9:9 58:8 Caller 42:21 canal 53:1

canceled 57:22

cancer 29:17

candidate 76:2

canyon 12:5,15,18,21,24 13:9,12,23,24,25 14:5,7 18:1,16,21,25 19:13,18 39:6 43:19,20 44:17 59:5 67:24 68:15,23,24 69:9

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: canyonscommissioners
canyons 32:17	circumstances 45:13	54:3 55:6,18 56:19 62:1,
capabilities 54:13	cities 34:16,24	6 65:13,16 66:25 79:6, 16,20
carbon 14:9 29:6 30:9	citizen 43:4 76:6	Coalition's 8:19 15:21
33:6 44:9	citizenry 57:15	22:8
care 6:16 58:24	citizens 70:17 73:22,25	Collecting 77:25
carrier 10:25 11:1	city 24:20 27:23 36:5,15,	college 52:2
carry 11:7	22 38:25 45:23 54:11,12, 23 67:15 73:20	Colorado 34:4 35:15
cars 34:7	claim 62:2	58:10 59:16,19,20
case 7:21 10:2 11:22		columbine 68:16
22:3,6	claimed 55:19	combustion 76:24
Castle 52:24	claiming 62:7	comment 4:6,19 5:1,3,8
categorically 54:12	claims 54:7	7:13 9:3 15:9,12,15
caused 49:1 71:9	clarify 24:22	21:11,12,13,14,15,17,18 22:11,18 23:6,10,12
causing 29:17 45:17	clean 50:17 51:3	24:3,4 25:5,19 26:17
Cazier 27:15,18	clear 60:21 71:18	27:24 41:6 47:10,11,22
Center 68:19	click 21:9,25	51:14 53:19 62:15,24 63:1 70:8,25 71:3,7,21
century 38:11 47:24	Cliffs 73:13	72:5,21 74:3 76:14
cetera 79:25	climate 32:2,3,14 38:2	commenter 24:9,12
chance 5:18 25:8	41:15 42:3,8 45:13 50:22 52:7 58:18 59:25 72:13	Commenters 4:16
change 30:22 32:2 38:2	77:1,6	comments 4:7,12,24 5:5,
41:15 42:8 58:18 59:25 72:13 77:6	climatic 29:4	7,9,24 6:20 8:13 9:5
	close 5:7 44:6	14:21,24 15:14 19:25 20:13,17 21:8,15 22:15,
Chapter 20:7,13	closed 61:17 65:18 68:25	16 23:2,3,4,13,17,23
characteristics 19:1	71:15	24:11,13 25:2 26:20 27:8
characters 21:16,17	closely 3:4	31:3 33:17 35:20 37:9 38:17 40:14 41:7 42:16
chat 2:22 4:21 24:5 27:7	closer 33:14 74:22 75:23	45:2 46:6 47:4 49:21
checked 40:6 43:4	76:4	51:17 53:11 57:3,10,25
children 49:15	closing 3:14 68:24	60:3,5 62:18 64:4,6,10, 14 67:8 70:10,16 72:23
chime 50:6	coal 28:12,14	73:1,7 74:5 76:10 77:8
choice 40:12	coalition 8:18 10:18,19	78:17,23 79:1
choose 23:5 65:12	11:3,15 12:12 13:19	commissioners 28:14
CIB 28:7,11	14:14 16:1 20:6 25:19 28:6 30:19,20 32:6 46:21	31:17 32:10

commit 41:20 commitments 66:14 **committed** 66:11 79:4 committees 73:25 commodities 11:8,10 55:21 **common** 10:25 11:1 46:22 common-carrier 55:20 communities 28:4 32:8. 13 33:10 51:9,10 55:9 community 28:7 32:7 33:13 54:22 56:20 **companies** 56:4,7,23 64:18 66:5,6,9 **Company** 10:23 compared 13:9 14:7 19:13 competition 73:11 compilation 68:12 **complete** 53:3 57:20 completely 57:19 67:4 completing 25:7 completion 25:22 Complicit 30:23 compliment 52:4 compound 29:14 compounds 34:23 comprehensiveness 41:1 compromised 52:7 compromises 39:4 concept 26:8

concern 18:25 19:4 44:11 59:6 67:18 74:12

concerned 37:19 38:4,25 42:10 43:4 59:10 60:19 61:1,14 62:5 70:17 73:23 75:16,22 77:13,20

concerns 51:15 52:1,5,6 58:14 61:9

concert 28:6

concludes 16:21 39:10 64:4

conclusions 7:18 8:12, 24 16:10 20:2

condition 25:21

conditions 3:1 11:12,14 15:24,25 29:5 30:22

conduct 3:4 4:2 10:3 22:4

conducted 21:6

conducting 9:23

congratulate 40:24

connect 13:5 59:7

connecting 10:25

connection 3:10 12:22

connections 3:12

consequence 35:11

consequences 35:12 conservation 37:17

conservatively 51:2

considerable 75:11 considerably 77:5

consideration 30:17 43:10,11

considerations 26:4

Index: commit..corn

considered 22:14 44:19 50:20 69:12

considers 9:18 12:10

construct 10:19 12:2,12 14:13 15:21,23 22:8 30:19 33:6 54:14 64:24 79:4

construction 8:7 9:15, 17,24 10:13 18:7 30:1 35:9 39:11 55:1 79:3

consult 23:23

consultation 15:2 19:9

contact 3:22

contacted 61:17

contaminate 75:19

contents 25:8

continue 28:8 42:2 69:18 75:4 78:25

continued 42:11

continuing 23:23 41:23

contract 79:4,6,13

contractor 2:14

contribute 32:1 34:20 77:5

contribution 68:11

conversation 41:9

Cooke 6:25

cooperating 7:7 8:17 10:3,11 15:3 16:3,7 19:9

Coordinating 10:8

copies 61:3

Cordray 60:9,11,13,16, 18 62:12

corn 66:23

Corps 10:7
corrected 71:17
corrupt 57:12
cost 48:5,11 55:13,16 68:9
costs 48:16 69:24
country 40:8 41:14 44:9
country's 38:6
county 8:18 10:17 14:3 19:19 25:19 28:5 31:17 32:6 47:20 54:2,19,24 62:1,5
couple 43:24 71:3
Covid 61:18
Covid-19 22:19 31:16 72:1
coyote 68:3
Craig 43:11
crap 36:19
create 17:18 39:3 46:17
creates 69:5
creating 18:6
creation 54:1
Creek 59:5,17
crisis 32:14
criteria 29:2
critical 18:25 37:21 51:21
cross 12:25 13:13,16 16:24 17:11 18:15,18,22, 24
crossings 39:8 58:23
crucial 32:20

December 01, 2020 **crude** 11:5,13 54:10,13 55:14 56:1 63:13 64:25 cultural 16:18 19:7 cumulative 16:20 35:13 current 3:1 30:2,22 31:25 49:9,13,17 79:6 **cut** 48:18 **cutting** 69:22 cynical 57:13 D **dab** 36:6 damage 33:12 dangerous 45:19 dark 57:20 **Darrel** 53:14 **Darrell** 53:14,18 56:14 64:13 78:25 data 76:5 78:1 date 56:23 dates 22:21 **David** 40:21,23 day 11:17 17:23 41:24 days 22:12 61:24 **DE** 53:4 **dead** 69:22 deal 37:21 death 29:12 decade 70:21 **December** 2:1 5:8 15:1 22:13,16 **decide** 15:20,25 16:4

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

Index: Corps..derived decides 19:21 79:18 decision 15:19,20 decisions 10:11 16:6 22:6 31:14 declared 71:25 deem 77:20 **deep** 52:5,6 **deer** 68:2 deflected 65:3 degradation 38:5 **DEIS** 28:25 29:18 30:16 delay 16:14 19:5 delaying 60:25 deliberately 54:5 deliver 42:9 demand 11:13 denial 26:8 denied 33:15 59:24 denuded 69:10 deny 15:20 26:12 35:18 38:15 42:7 53:7 72:11, 12,17 depend 11:12 18:14 dependent 40:11 depending 11:14 16:7 17:22 **depends** 46:25 **deplete** 39:23 depletes 59:14 deputy 52:13 derailments 69:13 **derived** 41:12

designated 32:20 designing 55:24 desire 54:1 destined 38:12 **destroy** 68:10 destroying 33:10 destruction 33:8 68:7 detailed 16:6 details 51:4 devastating 38:14 63:11, 14 72:13 developed 13:20 31:24 developer 28:12,14 **development** 32:5,10,13 38:10 52:24 53:24 62:8 66:1 **die** 38:12 difficult 45:12 74:19 difficulty 3:9 71:5,7 digital 77:19 dioxide 29:6,7 30:9 direct 35:11 55:9 58:21 71:18 direction 49:5 directly 55:22 71:11 director 52:13 dirty 50:19 57:13 disaster 35:14 discovered 65:9 discuss 8:21 9:1,3 20:20 discussed 67:20 dishonest 62:9

disingenuous 62:9 dismissal 44:22 displacement 18:9 disruption 26:5 distant 31:22 distorted 63:19 distortion 28:8 32:18 51:8 60:9 74:17,25 76:8 District 24:20 disturb 17:19 **Diversity** 68:19 **Division** 7:1 **docket** 5:15 22:2,4,5 23:15,16 document 21:19 69:11 72:4 documentation 67:1 documents 21:5 65:4 77:16 dollars 36:11 66:12 69:23 73:24 **doom** 41:24 doors 65:18 **double** 34:18 **Dove** 37:11,12,15,16 download 7:22 21:3 downloads 3:15 draft 2:9 5:22 7:18 8:3. 12,13,23,24 9:5 10:10 12:3,9 15:2,3,9,10,13 16:5,10,11,21 19:2 20:2, 8,13,18,21 21:2,9 22:6, 10 23:2 50:8,20 53:21 55:4,11

Index: designated..effectively

dramatically 43:25 Draw 12:6 13:4,10,13 14:8 17:1 18:3,22,23

Drexel 79:1,14,18

drilling 28:17 59:13 76:24 77:4

driveway 43:6

19:14

drought 38:2

dry 44:12 69:17

Duchesne 14:3 19:17,18 43:13,16 47:19 58:11 59:17,18

due 11:23 22:19 28:20 29:4,24 30:4 37:22

duration 59:6

dwindling 39:24

Е

earlier 9:8 77:23 Early 13:19 easily 53:2 69:9,16 east 35:15 73:14 eastern 29:19 economic 9:12 18:10 32:13 33:12 38:9 50:24 53:23 54:1 66:1 economically 53:8 63:24 65:15 economy 39:2,22 40:9, 10 46:18,25 50:17 editors 77:16,21 effect 44:1 63:25 effectively 67:4 effects 18:13 29:10,18 38:2 39:1,22 63:14 64:1, 2 69:16 62:7 efficiently 53:2 effort 5:4 Engineers 10:7 **EIS** 2:9,14 3:22 6:1 7:17, engines 17:21 18 8:5,12,13,23,24 9:6, 11 10:10 12:3,9 13:19 59:6 65:22 14:18,20,22,25 15:2,3,9, entities 25:13 10,13,14 16:5,10,11,21 19:3 20:2,8,13,18,19,21, 24 21:2,9 22:6,10,14 23:2,24 50:9 53:21 55:4, 12 67:17 78:7,10 76:25 elaborate 64:10 78:23 elected 4:17 7:10 73:25 electronic 71:19 electronically 5:2 23:10 elements 26:5 77:22 eliminate 54:10 Elizabeth 24:16,19 **elk** 68:2 **Ellen** 67:9,11,14,15 70:2 emergency 29:11 69:15 76:22 71:25 72:1 emission 77:5 emissions 19:6 29:2,14 19:11 63:17 30:7 32:2 33:6 **Emma** 43:7 empathize 53:25 EPA's 34:18 end 13:8 15:1,12 22:13 23:18 40:6 episodic 28:19 equally 23:4 endangered 17:8,9 32:23 39:16 68:7 equated 34:6 ending 41:10,17 42:21 equity 64:17 79:2 53:12 60:7

December 01, 2020 energy 16:17 50:19 51:3 52:5,10,20,22 53:5,6,8 engineering 56:17 entire 15:18 25:7,9,25 environment 20:6 26:5,6 30:21 33:8 34:2 35:17 42:12 44:8 64:2 65:23 environmental 2:7,9,16 4:5 5:15,18,23 6:2,7,9,10 7:3,8 8:3,4,9,11,21,25 9:3,20,21,23,25 10:1,3 11:19 12:10 13:21 14:17 15:4,25 16:12,20,23 18:25 19:13,23 20:22 21:1,6 23:15,21 25:4,23 32:24 35:8,11 37:2 39:10.12 50:21 51:4 55:16 61:23 62:14 63:14 64:3 65:9 67:3 70:23 71:1,23,24 72:2 73:15 environmentalists 37:3 environmentally 15:8 environments 59:10 **EPA** 28:23 29:9 76:6

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

Index: effects..expected

era 41:10.13 escalation 42:3 essentially 56:21 estimate 11:18 48:7 estimated 48:5 68:9 estimates 11:15 55:16 ethyl 29:16 evening 5:1 event 68:22 69:25 **Eventbrite** 71:10,13 eventual 76:24 eventually 78:6 everyone's 3:2 6:15 **evidence** 69:16 evidently 49:18 exacerbates 37:24 **exceed** 17:24 34:23 exceeding 77:2 exception 41:2 **exempt** 25:24 exemption 25:17 26:13 48:4 72:18 exhaust 36:18 existing 10:22 12:23 13:6 59:7 expand 55:8 62:15 64:14 72:25 73:7 expanded 30:4 expanse 68:7 expansion 31:21 32:4 expansive 52:24 expected 30:2 52:21

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 Index: expensive..focus fact 34:14 40:6 54:16 file 21:19 expensive 32:15 55:23 56:14,22 59:4 experience 3:9,13 filing 5:15 23:15 26:12 63:25 79:18 filings 22:5 expert 52:19,20 failed 30:16 55:12 filling 33:11 explain 9:5 Fairfax 5:14 23:14 exploration 76:23 77:4 filtering 71:9 **fairly** 70:6 explore 33:8 final 14:25 15:13,14,15, faith 31:13 16,19,20 20:18,19 22:14 exponential 54:17 false 54:12 78:6.10 exposure 29:9 familiar 74:13 finally 3:17 9:4 72:17 express 51:21 family 43:16 46:16 finance 79:2 expressed 52:1 66:6 farm 66:23 financial 56:25 65:5 expressing 26:3 farmers 40:4 financially 46:1 expression 25:1 farming 18:11 financing 79:7,13 expressions 25:2 fast 75:1 find 20:21,24 21:20,24 extend 12:17 35:14 22:5 23:7 46:22 favor 63:10 70:25 71:2 74:1 finding 34:25 **FD** 5:15 22:4.5 23:15.17 extended 71:21 findings 16:10 feasible 12:1 65:15 extending 10:20 71:7 finished 44:20 feature 2:22 47:8 62:22 extension 60:24 72:5 finishing 22:25 features 52:25 79:9 fire 44:17 68:21,22,23 extensive 25:10 37:20 federal 7:7 8:6 9:9 10:4, 69:1,11,21 70:2 79:24 16 11:20 17:10 25:12 **extent** 25:10 69:4 48:14 55:6 71:25 77:2 fires 44:13 extracted 33:3 firm 79:2 federally 39:15 extraction 28:11 30:13 fee 26:13 **firms** 64:17 37:22 40:11 54:22 feed 27:16 42:19 47:7 **fish** 59:2 **eyes** 42:6 62:21 five-year 79:8 feeds 3:19 F flat 43:7 feel 37:6 54:2 60:23 62:8 Flats 68:19 facilitate 28:22 31:21 feeling 38:1 flooding 38:3 facilitated 4:6 24:3 fewer 69:5

facilitator 2:12 facilities 55:14,21,25 56:5,10 66:12 79:25

Field-of-dreams 66:18

field 21:5 66:23

79:10

flow 52:14

flows 59:17,18,19

focus 29:23 58:9

focused 35:9 folks 6:23 60:24 follow 13:8,10 14:1 folly 59:23 Fordham 53:14,16,18,19 56:14 64:11,13 78:22,25 Forest 7:5,6 10:6 13:1 18:19 32:21 68:4.5 69:22 forested 69:8 form 21:11,12,18 29:13 63:23 77:19 format 6:19 21:19 **Forty** 26:10 forward 6:20 23:22 41:14 48:4 57:18 **fossil** 28:1,9,10 29:25 31:22 32:4 33:13 36:8 41:17,20,23 76:25 77:3 found 34:5,17 78:5 four-fold 77:3 frac 11:8 frame 62:15 friends 50:12 51:23 front 35:16 36:18 fruition 46:23 frustrating 50:18 fuel 28:1,9,10 29:25 32:4 fuels 31:22,23 33:13 36:8 41:17,21,23 76:25 77:3 full 24:14 76:1,23 functions 61:18 fund 28:7 56:20 73:16 funded 10:16 73:16

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 funds 28:12 32:8 38:14

48:21 79:4

future 11:12 40:10 50:15, 19,24 51:3,5 52:6 66:3 71:17 72:15

G

G-I-L-M-O-R-E 40:16 gambled 56:21 64:21 79:15 gambling 79:17 game 32:18,20 59:2 garner 54:5 gas 28:2,17,20 29:3,14 30:6.8 31:21 32:1.9.11 34:3,10 35:2 37:23 46:25 48:22.24 51:7 55:22 58:15 59:14,22 gas-related 70:4 gases 16:17 37:25 44:9 gathering 60:2 generated 52:22 generating 18:8 **aentlemen** 2:4 24:15 47:5 62:19 64:7 76:11 geology 16:15 Giles 72:24 73:2,6 Gills 47:13,14,18 49:11 **Gilmore** 40:16,19 **aive** 23:5 30:16 37:4 glad 5:20 6:18 global 30:8 33:14 37:25 45:17

good 40:23 41:4 49:18

Index: focused..guide

52:15 68:16 69:3 70:5,6, 13 73:11

goods 55:15 56:6 65:24

government 10:17 25:11 28:2 36:11 53:24 54:3 55:18 61:18 72:1

governments 54:20,24

grade 12:20 13:15

grant 15:22,24 16:4 25:21 60:23

great 37:3,16,21 43:1 59:25 60:1

greater 14:9 17:12 19:16 32:22 34:8 69:24

greatest 71:8

green 49:23 51:18,19,20 52:22 58:11 59:18

greenhouse 16:17 30:7 32:1 37:25 77:5

Griffith 45:4,5

Grossman 38:19,21,24, 25

ground 4:10 44:9 45:21 46:22

grounds 59:24

group 62:6

groups 44:20

Groves 7:5

growth 54:1

guarantees 64:22

guess 26:2 58:6

guide 52:21

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: habitatimpa
	hearing 6:20 77:25	house 24:21,24
Н	Heather 6:25 37:10,12, 15,16	huge 28:1 32:25 38:13 44:11 59:6 61:21 66:16
habitat 17:12 32:18,20, 22 37:20,21 59:11 68:8	heavily 34:24	73:14
habitats 17:17	heavy 54:17	human 28:18 30:21
Haley 6:25	held 14:23 22:20 53:20	human-made 39:3,21
half 48:12	hell 36:21	humanity 45:17
half-truths 65:4	helping 29:13 62:7	humans 63:18
Hamilton 42:6 79:1,14,18	hexane 29:16	hundreds 34:20 44:14
hand 4:21,22,23 24:6,8	Hey 38:24	hydrogen 52:22
26:21 27:2,12,18 28:22	high 28:20 46:19	I
40:18 42:19 47:8 49:24 62:22 63:4 64:11 72:25	higher 34:6,15	I
74:6 76:16 77:9 78:22	highest 34:22	ICF 2:12 5:13 23:14
handful 73:21	highly 67:5	icon 4:22 24:7
hands 27:4,14,19 40:20 47:12	highway 5:14 23:14 54:8, 10 67:25 68:25	ID 3:7 Idaho 74:7,9,12,15,24
hard 35:7 45:9	highways 54:18	75:4,6,12 76:1,2 77:11, 13 78:8,20
hauling 54:10	Hilding 26:21 31:6,8,11,	idea 44:10 49:18 63:11
hawks 68:2	12 33:18	identified 11:25 13:21
hazardous 16:16	History 42:6	14:14 19:10 65:8 74:7
hazards 16:16 26:7	holding 22:17	identifies 15:7
head 12:17,22 13:11	Hollywood 66:22	identify 27:3,13 40:19
health 3:3 6:15 29:10,18 35:6,12,14 64:3 71:25	Holmes 27:20,22,23 30:12,25 31:4	ignores 29:23
72:1 79:25	homework 74:2	ignoring 41:11
healthy 6:17 34:1 35:17	honestly 36:16	illness-causing 33:11
hear 31:8,9 33:22 35:24, 25 37:12 42:23 46:10,11 47:14,16 50:2,3 53:15 57:6 58:3,4 60:12,13 62:3 67:11,12 68:3 73:2, 4 74:9,10 76:8 77:11	hope 6:16	imagine 57:13
	horizons 52:21	immense 33:12
	hospital 29:12	impact 2:9 3:13 5:23
	host 2:23 3:19 4:12,21 24:6	6:10 7:8 8:4 17:24 21:1 25:4,7 28:7 32:7 35:8,13 37:2 39:3 41:7,18 42:8
heard 47:5 53:21 54:7 62:19 64:7 70:17 76:11	hour 71:14	44:8 45:19 50:21 54:19 55:17 56:20 62:14 70:23

71:1,23,24 72:2 73:15 76:22,23 78:10 impacted 32:9 61:23 impacts 8:25 9:20 12:10 13:21 14:4,8 15:4,6 16:12,20,23,25 17:16 18:5,6,9 19:4,13,16,24 20:5 28:10 32:21,24 39:12,13,14,18,21 50:7 51:4 54:21 55:4,8 58:15, 17,22 59:12,24,25 60:1 61:23 63:21,23 65:10 66:17 67:3,19 69:4,6 72:13 important 33:7 45:20 46:20 50:8 59:1 **impose** 20:4 imposing 64:1 improvements 55:1 in-person 3:5 inappropriate 4:15 inaudible 32:23 include 16:13 18:6.9 22:6 29:15 35:11 39:13 48:15 52:22,25 58:17 61:2 included 31:14 55:15 includes 11:18 including 6:23 8:22 9:13 10:4 11:8,13 15:16,18,24 17:7 19:4 21:5 25:12,13, 25 26:7 29:10 37:23 39:15 58:11 61:13 65:24 67:18 73:21 inclusion 61:10 inclusive 25:10 increase 29:4 30:2 31:24

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 33:6 50:11 54:17 59:22 77:3 increased 28:17 29:11, 25 30:5 39:23 40:2 51:7 58:15 59:13 increases 29:23 increasing 71:6 incredible 52:9 incredibly 59:1 69:17 independent 2:13 9:11 25:1 Indian 10:7 12:5,14,18, 21,24 13:1,9,23,24,25 14:5,7 17:25 18:16,20,21 19:4,13 39:6 59:5,17 61:10 68:24 individual 3:12 individuals 53:21 78:9. 15 industries 36:9 37:22 38:12 66:4 79:11 industry 28:2,9 29:15 30:6,13 32:11 34:10 37:24 48:22,24 49:1 50:12 52:21 79:10 inflicting 33:12 information 3:2 5:22,23 9:2 10:10 16:6 20:21 21:21,24 22:22 23:7 62:13 65:5 66:5 67:1 71:18 77:15,18,22 78:2, 5,17 Infrastructure 8:18 10:18 25:19 28:6 32:6 54:3 62:1,6 79:1 **Ingals** 76:16,18,21 inhabited 32:22

inhaling 34:11 **injury** 32:5 **INL** 74:15 75:12 inland 73:19 input 25:14 31:14 instance 72:21 instructions 21:11 insult 32:5 integrity 39:4 intended 31:20 Intensity 30:1 **intent** 14:20 interactive 21:3 interest 23:19 47:21 52:4,9 66:6 interested 4:7 interesting 36:3 interests 28:4 56:1,11 **Internet** 3:10,12 interpreted 54:9 interrupting 74:19 Interstate 11:1 interview 3:23 introduce 5:25 inventoried 18:18 **invest** 53:7 54:15 56:16, 23 invested 48:20 investing 32:12 38:11 48:25 **investment** 52:4 70:6 **Investments** 53:5

Index: impacted..Investments

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: investorslegislators
investors 36:13 56:15,	jobs 18:6 38:10 46:17	lack 61:9 66:8
18,22	48:8 70:4	Ladies 2:4 24:15 47:5
invitation 74:2	John 47:18 57:4,6,9 73:7	62:19 64:7 76:11
invite 5:9	Johnny 47:12,14,18	Lake 27:23 33:1 36:22
involvement 21:10	49:11 72:24 73:2,6	37:16 38:25 45:23 47:19 54:11,12 67:15 73:20
lowa 66:24	join 3:21	land 10:5 12:25 13:2,3,
irreparable 66:17	joined 7:11	16,18 16:18 17:13 18:12,
irresponsible 67:5	joining 6:5 7:4 52:2	14,15,16,22,23,24 33:5
irreversibly 32:1	Josh 2:14 3:23 6:3,6 8:8 24:1	36:25 39:4,17 52:11 53:2 67:24 69:7,10
isolated 43:18	Joshua 5:12,25 6:4	landowner 43:3 47:19
issue 41:2 60:22 61:21	23:13	landowners 46:21
75:1 issued 14:19,25 22:7	joshua.wayland@stb. gov. 3:25	lands 10:8 18:13,19 38:5, 6,15 39:18 52:8,14,15
issues 28:24	jumping 51:11	68:1,10
	juncture 67:5,25	language 4:16
J	June 14:19	larger 75:19
Jack 49:23 51:18,20	justice 16:20	largest 17:2 34:16
Jan 67:9,11,14,15 70:2	justified 72:20	late 40:5
Jay 45:3,5 Jennifer 2:4,11 6:4,6,12	justifies 72:5	law 32:8 76:1 77:9,11,13 78:8,20
7:25 24:1 26:10,19	K	laws 10:2
30:10,24 31:2,5,9 33:16,		leach 75:18
19,23 35:19,25 37:8,13 38:16,22 40:13,24 42:15,	kicked 47:24	lead 9:10 29:6 69:24
24 43:1 45:1 46:5,9,11	kill 44:15	learned 19:3
47:3,15,16 49:10,20 50:3	kind 36:21 57:15 73:7	Loars 18:24

knowing 45:13 66:20 67:7,12 70:1,9 Kristy 7:4 72:7,22 73:3,4 74:4,10, 18 75:2,5 76:9,19 77:7, Kyune 10:23 12:23 13:7 12 78:4,16,21 Jeremy 46:7,9,13,14 **job** 37:3 48:9 54:1

51:16 53:10,16 56:12

57:2,7,24 58:4 60:4,10,

14 62:10,17 63:3,6 64:5

76:5

kinds 59:2

L

Laboratories 74:15,16

Lears 18:24 **lease** 28:9 52:16,24 55:10 64:21 **leases** 52:12 leave 36:21 51:13

Lee 5:14 23:14

left 47:7 62:21 64:9

legislators 31:17

Index: legislature..Mark

legislature 24:24 73:22
lek 17:17 63:16
Leland 10:22 12:16 13:6
lessening 55:9
letter 39:9
level 25:12 41:9,10 49:9 57:16
levels 28:20 29:4 30:13 34:5,6,15 45:11
library 61:5
license 30:19
lied 54:4
life 39:25 41:8 46:18
lights 55:2
limit 34:18
limited 72:3
limiting 3:15
Lin-manual 42:5
lines 8:7 9:15 40:11 76:5
link 21:10,25
linked 29:10
Lionel 70:11,13,14 72:9
list 24:9 26:22
listed 17:8 39:15
listen 3:11 36:3 51:15
listening 57:10
literally 41:10
live 17:19 27:23 34:13 36:5,14 37:1,6 38:25 46:14 47:19 50:24,25 61:11,22 67:15
Involution of 51.17

livelihood 51:12

living 40:5 58:8 load 54:13 **loaded** 11:18 local 2:5 25:13 54:17,19 62:1 locally 45:22 **locals** 51:10 located 2:22 14:6 29:20 47:9 62:22 locations 75:8 76:7 **lock** 50:19 lock-in 50:21 locomotive 17:21 logistically 12:1 long 12:25 13:15 14:12 21:16 22:12 35:7 43:23 44:15 48:12 50:14 long-term 28:4 39:1,20, 22 40:10 50:7,23 53:8 longer 21:17 42:7 60:24 69:19 looked 25:7 36:12 Los 34:8 loss 37:20,24 59:11 lost 37:7,21 lot 41:7 44:9,18 47:20 48:14,19 58:9 71:2 **love** 46:15,19 low 64:25 69:14 ludicrous 56:3 lumber 56:5

Μ

machinery 11:9 made 2:19 24:11 29:25 31:15 75:12 78:18 **mail** 5:3 mailed 23:17 mailing 23:11 main 74:12 maintain 12:20 13:14 49:8 64:24 maintenance 48:16 54:20 55:1 **major** 7:18 8:23 16:10 39:13 majority 46:2 make 3:6 5:4,16 15:15 16:7 20:18 24:13 46:2 65:6 74:21 75:6 77:15 makes 15:19 33:12 46:1 55:4 making 10:12 25:20 managed 13:1,16 17:10 Management 10:6 13:3, 17 14:10 17:13 18:23 Management's 18:24 manager 2:15 3:22 6:1,9 map 12:14 21:3 **maps** 12:7 March 68:11 Marina 38:18,21,24 Mark 35:21,24 36:2 45:8, 9

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 Index: market..mutes market 11:12,14 merits 9:19 72:20 mitigate 20:5 28:10 41:18 48:23 63:20 67:22 markets 11:6 31:22 message 2:23 4:21 24:5 27:7 42:9,10 mitigated 67:5 massive 38:2 67:1 met 26:1 mitigating 8:25 63:23 material 11:9 69:14 methane 30:7,14 materials 72:4 75:9 76:7 mitigation 15:6,17 20:5, **metric** 30:14 Matt 27:15,18 7,10,11,12,14,15,16,19 microphone 74:22 55:5 matter 29:7 51:21 71:12 72:5 middle 36:6 66:24 moderating 40:25 means 49:8 51:7,11 **mile** 48:11,12 Moench 33:21,22,25 63:20 69:5 miles 12:25 13:15 14:12 moment 12:8 meant 28:10 34:21 39:7 43:22,24 money 32:7,11 48:10,13, 48:12,19 58:22 measured 34:4 14,19,22,25 50:18 51:2 million 28:11,13 34:7,13 52:16 55:7,20,24 56:4,8, measures 15:6,17 20:5, 48:9,12,16 59:20 64:20 10,16,19,24 63:19 70:6 7,10,11,12,14,15,16 55:2 79:16,19,22 79:12,17,22 media 3:21.23 millions 66:11 73:24 Monica 26:21 31:6,8,11 meet 6:19 75:21 33:18 45:8 meeting 2:7,8,17,22,23, mineral 11:10 28:9 54:21 monies 28:3,10 64:21 25 3:5,6,7,10,11,16,17, 55:7,10 63:20 64:21 75:16 19 4:1,2,10,13,14,20,21 Mini 14:3 19:17 monoxide 29:6 5:4,6,22 6:21 7:14,24 8:3 minimize 14:8 17:16 22:23 23:6,7,8 24:3,6 Monte 57:11 73:8 71:14,15,16 19:12,16,23 **months** 65:2 meetings 2:20 5:10 6:14 minimizes 69:4 Mountain 53:4 9:4 14:23 15:10 22:17. minimizing 69:12 20,21 31:18 53:19 71:6, mountainous 11:24 minutes 24:12 31:10 8,19 mountains 12:20 59:8 33:24 36:1 37:14 38:23 **Melissa** 42:17,18,23,25 43:2 46:12 47:17 50:4 **move** 7:13 17:23 49:4 43:3 53:17 57:8 58:5 60:15 63:13 member 61:8 65:6 63:7 67:13 73:5 74:11 **movie** 66:22 76:20 members 37:19 61:12 moving 41:13,20 48:3 64:15 Miranda 42:5 50:17 66:6,10 mentality 66:18 misappropriated 56:19 Munger 49:22,25 50:2,5 **mentioned** 9:8 45:20 **misled** 54:5 municipal 79:24 77:23 mispronounce 33:20 **mutes** 3:18 mentions 55:4 misused 55:6

	DRAFT EIS Decer
myriad 67:2,18	north 13
Myton 10:21 12:16 13:6,	note 3:6
10	notes 29
N	notice 14
named 52:19	number 3 22:3,4 5 74:16 75
nation 25:13 49:14 58:25	
nation's 34:16	
National 7:5 9:25 11:19 18:19 68:5 74:15	Oakland
native 51:9 62:7 68:13,	observati
20,21	obtain 16
nature 56:24	obvious
needed 40:2 79:9	occur 12
negated 69:17	occurred
negative 18:5 39:20,22 55:8	October
negatively 32:9 neighborhood 69:20 neighbors 46:22	OEA 2:7, 9:22,25 14:19,20 13,15 19 18 23:4 3
NEPA 10:1 11:20	OEA's 15
Network 11:2	offered 2
neutral 2:12	offers 52
nightmare 35:5,6	office 2:6
nitrogen 29:6	9:21,22
No-action 12:11 30:17, 18 40:12 41:3 42:10,13 57:22 63:10 65:12,19	officials 54:3 55:
noise 16:16 17:18,20,23, 24 39:18 67:19 nomination 73:12 nonsensical 35:10 nonviable 57:20	offset 48 oil 11:5,1 29:2,14 3 32:9,11,2 35:2 37:2 46:25 48
	51:6 54:′

ember 01, 2020 3:25 14:2,6 29:18 14:19 3:6,7,11 17:22

51:3 69:3,18 5:8

0

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

1 28:12 ations 34:21 16:2 56:2 2:11 29:24 67:22 **d** 57:10 68:23 15:11 22:7,12 7,13 4:4 5:16 5 10:2 11:25 0,23,25 15:2,5, 9:3,9,20 20:4,10, 139:10 15:7,18 19:8 28:13 2:24 2:6,16 6:2,8 8:9 2 10:9 39:9 4:18 7:10 53:24 5:19 66:1 8:23 13 28:2,17,20 30:6 31:21,25

,25 33:2 34:3,10 :23 39:23 40:2 8:22,24 50:11,14 :10,13 55:14,22,

Index: myriad..Ouray

23 56:1,4,7,23 58:15 59:14,22 64:18 65:24 70:4 71:1,22 79:10

oils 64:25

oldest 37:17

ongoing 22:19 71:24

- online 2:7,8,17,19,24 4:2,10 5:3,10,21 6:19 8:2 15:10 22:20,21 23:6,7 24:2 26:18 71:5
- operate 10:19 11:16 12:2,12 14:14 15:22,23 22:9 30:20 64:24
- operation 8:7 9:15,17 10:13 16:14 35:9 39:11

operational 52:18

operations 18:7 19:5 28:20 39:19

opinion 27:25

opportunity 4:18 26:17 27:24 31:12 45:6 46:14 47:22 51:14 61:19 70:8 71:6 72:21

opposite 63:25

option 23:11 79:8

options 23:3

oral 4:7,12,19,25 5:3,5, 24 22:16 23:5 24:4 47:10,11 62:24,25

orally 5:9

order 4:17 14:8 46:23 54:5 66:13

organic 29:14

organization 37:17 58:8

Ouray 18:20 61:3,6,11

outdoors 46:19
outlined 26:15
oversight 9:13 52:23
overview 4:4 7:16 8:11, 15 9:7
owl 68:2
owned 12:25
owns 52:11
ozone 28:20,23,24 29:4 5,9,13,20 34:17,19,20
Р
P-E-C-K 42:18

P-R-E-H-N 57:5 **p.m.** 2:1,5 Pacific 10:22 12:23 13:7 75:8,21 pack 44:4 paid 36:13 64:18 79:14 paleontological 16:18 pandemic 22:19 parallel 13:24 paralleling 12:18 paramount 63:15 parcel 53:2 parcels 52:11 **Park** 12:6 13:22,25 14:2, 6,11,15 15:7 17:4,15 18:2,17 19:10,15,23 39:5 43:7 part 14:24 24:2 32:14 43:17 61:21 62:6 71:8 participant 74:7

participants 3:18 participate 61:20 71:18 participating 2:10 participation 2:24 7:25 23:20 71:10,16 78:13 particulate 29:7 parties 4:7 partner 53:4 Partners 79:1 parts 4:3 18:24 34:17 pass 31:18 **passed** 68:5 Password 3:8 past 65:2 78:13 Pause 63:2 pay 54:25 64:23 79:23 payment 28:13 **PDF** 21:19 peak 34:2 peaks 34:17 **Peck** 42:18,20,23,24,25 43:3 **penny** 56:7 **people** 6:22 17:19 34:13 36:3,22 43:19 44:14,15 46:1,2 49:15,16 50:16,24 51:5 59:21 61:10,11,16, 22 62:2,3,13 64:3 71:13, 14 72:3 73:21,24 77:21 percent 29:1 45:14 46:16,24 perennial 58:24 59:1 period 5:8 9:4 15:10,12, 15 22:11,18 62:15 70:25

Index: outdoors..place

71:3,7,21 72:6 periphery 48:8 permanent 39:13,17 48:8 56:20 permanently 17:2,4 permission 72:12 **permit** 26:9 permits 16:2,5 63:1 permitting 56:17 person 2:25 5:20 6:14,18 personally 68:15 pertinent 65:5 Pete 40:15,16,19 petition 22:8 25:17 **phase** 45:16 phone 3:6,7 6:24 physically 62:14 **Physicians** 34:1 35:17 picture 21:18 68:20 **Piggott** 2:4,11 24:1 26:10,19 30:10,24 31:2, 5,9 33:16,19,23 35:19,25 37:8,13 38:16,22 40:13 42:15,24 43:1 45:1 46:5, 11 47:3,16 49:10,20 50:3 51:16 53:10,16 56:12 57:2,7,24 58:4 60:4,10, 14 62:10,17 63:3,6 64:5 66:20 67:7,12 70:1,9 72:7,22 73:4 74:4,10,18 75:2,5 76:9,19 77:7,12 78:4,16,21 **pilot** 57:11 **pipe** 36:18 place 21:20 31:23 43:14

48:14 49:2 54:23 69:15 79:4,8,13 **places** 44:16 plan 41:3 56:8 67:16,22 planet 38:3 41:14,24 52:7 planned 66:17 planning 55:7,11,16,24 56:16 64:18,22 79:15,20 plans 55:21 69:15 **plant** 68:13,14,20 plants 68:21 **play** 7:14 46:14 point 3:10 32:3 50:10 77:1 points 10:21 12:15 13:5, 8 28:25 **police** 79:24 **Policy** 10:1,8 11:19 politics 41:11 pollutant 29:2 **pollutants** 29:15,17 polluted 34:24 pollutes 59:15 polluting 33:2,5 pollution 28:18,21 29:23 30:2,5 34:7,9,12 35:4,5 36:7,14,15,20 45:22 population 31:16 port 28:12,14 73:19 portfolio 53:3 portion 7:13 29:19 posing 64:1

December 01, 2020 positive 18:5 possibility 26:8 **possibly** 57:13,16 73:8, 10 posted 78:7 postmarked 22:15 potent 30:7 potential 9:19 11:23 12:10 15:4 16:11 26:4,6 30:8 67:21 69:10 potentially 11:8 31:25 **power** 53:1 **Power's** 53:4 **powered** 50:14 practical 12:1 69:5 pre-covid 30:13 precarious 56:24 precursor 34:19 prefer 23:12 preferred 14:15 15:8 19:11 39:5 69:2 preferring 28:8 **Prehn** 57:5.6.7.9 Prehn's 73:7 preliminarily 19:10,20 preliminary 8:24 15:5,8 20:1,11,14 56:17 premature 29:12 44:21 prepare 14:20 15:13 prepared 8:5 15:2 63:8 preregister 4:19 preregistered 24:11 42:17

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

Index: places..produced present 12:7 78:9 presentation 2:18 4:11 5:16 7:15,19 8:1,10,14 22:25 23:19 45:6 57:18 presented 20:2 presents 16:5 preserve 58:24 president 34:1 37:16 president-elect 40:7 press 4:23 24:8 27:2,12, 17 40:18 62:25 prevented 71:16 previous 43:12 64:10 78:23 **previously** 4:11 53:20 64:14 price 43:25 58:12 59:9 primarily 11:4 25:3 53:22 prior 25:18,25 26:13 71:14 **private** 13:3,17 18:13,16 36:13 39:18 50:18 56:15, 18,22 64:17,18 79:2 problem 29:3 49:1,9,13 problems 48:23 49:16,17 67:22 proceed 26:15 79:19 proceeding 72:20 process 4:5 5:19 7:17,25 8:11,22 13:19 14:17,18 20:22 23:21,24 25:4,6, 15,23,25 26:3,14 41:3,6 61:20 65:22 processing 63:13 produced 11:5,13

producers 55:23 producing 30:14 product 11:8 production 28:17 29:25 31:25 35:2,3 39:23 40:2 50:11 51:7 52:23 55:23 58:15 59:22 76:24 77:4 products 11:10 52:10 56:5 professionalism 40:25 programs 3:14 project 2:15 3:22 4:5 5:2, 11,17,18 6:1,9 7:20 8:19, 20 9:2,8 10:17 14:18,23 20:24 21:21,25 23:9,10, 22 31:13 32:15,24 33:4, 6,9,15 35:12,13,18 37:20 38:13,15 39:1 42:2,10,14 43:5,25 45:12 46:17.23, 24 47:1,23 48:1,2,5,20 52:17 54:4,6,22 55:7,11, 15,16 56:8,15,17,21,25 57:12,13,21 58:16 59:23 60:19 63:20 64:17,19,22, 23 65:8,18 66:16 67:2 72:12,14 73:8,11,12,14, 18 78:5,18 79:14,16,19, 20 project-related 21:5 projected 48:16 projects 31:19 48:22 50:7 73:15,17,19,20,23 74:2 79:24 **promote** 31:19 promoted 73:20 promoting 49:3 **proof** 65:17

December 01, 2020 proper 45:23 61:19

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

property 43:16 68:17 69:19

proposal 9:19 45:25 49:19

proposals 9:24

proposed 4:5 5:18 7:16 8:19 9:2,8 10:14,16,24 11:4,7,11,17,21 12:12 15:22,23 16:1,12 17:18, 19 18:4,12 19:22 20:3,6 21:21 22:9 23:21 28:16 29:19,21 30:20 31:20 32:16 35:13 39:19 41:8 53:7 64:1 65:14,25 66:17

proposes 10:19

proppant 11:9

propping 28:9

protect 46:18 65:22

protected 17:13 39:16

protecting 3:2 58:10

protection 6:7

proven 65:13

provide 3:1 4:4,7,19,25 5:1,3,23,24 7:15 8:10 10:25 11:2 21:8 24:4 47:10 62:25 66:25 73:13 76:14

provided 47:10 62:23 71:19

providing 8:15

provisions 55:5

public 2:7,8,17,19,24 3:5 4:2,10 5:4,10,21 6:14 8:2 9:4 10:8 14:21,23 15:9, 10,12 18:13 20:17 21:10 22:11,17,20,21,23,24 Index: producers..quote

23:6,7 24:2 28:3 31:14 32:7 35:6,14 38:5,6,14 39:17 50:18 53:19 54:5, 6,8 55:3 56:9,18 64:15 65:6,14,17,23 71:7,16,20 72:15 76:5 77:16,18,25 78:1,18 79:22

public's 28:4 55:15 79:17

publicly 66:15

published 15:11

purple 61:24

purportedly 79:11

purpose 5:21 10:24 35:1, 12 56:2

pushing 33:14

put 35:3 51:4 55:24 57:18 62:12 63:11,15 69:15 75:9,20 79:7

putting 39:2 74:17

Python 57:11 73:8

Q

quadruple 35:2,4

quality 16:17 49:14 50:22 58:18 59:12 77:2

queries 3:23

question 41:23 48:3 49:7 65:7 69:20 78:2

question-and-answer 4:13

questionable 56:25

questions 65:1,3 67:6

quote 42:5

R **R-E-E-S-E** 27:10 **R-I-C-H-I-N-S** 27:3 raging 33:9 rail 8:7 9:15 10:20,23,24, 25 11:1,4,7,11,12,17,23 12:13,23 13:7 14:14 15:22,23 16:1,12,14 17:18,20,22,23 18:4,7,12 19:5,22 20:4 22:9 29:19, 21 30:20,21 39:2,19 40:11 46:17 54:14 59:7 63:11.15 66:8 railroad 9:13,18,24 10:23 12:23 32:19 35:1 36:24 48:18 50:11 53:20 60:20 71:2,22 72:16,19 74:14 75:7,10,21 76:5 railroad's 30:1 railroads 9:13 rails 44:11 **railway** 2:9 6:10 7:16 8:3 9:11 10:14.15 11:22 21:1,25 22:3 23:22 27:25 28:16 31:15,20,23 32:16 35:10 36:7 39:21 41:7,8, 19 53:7,22 54:7,9,16 55:13,19,20 56:2,7,21 58:21 59:4,8,13 62:3 65:14,25 66:3,10,13 67:17 69:23,24 70:23 79:3.5.9 raise 4:21,22,23 24:6,8 27:2,12,18 40:18 43:24 47:8 62:22 raised 26:21 27:4,14 40:20 42:19 46:15 47:12 48:23 49:24 61:21 63:4

December 01, 2020 64:12 72:25 74:6 76:16 77:9 78:23 ranchers 40:3 **Ranches** 14:3 19:18 ranching 18:11 50:13 range 16:13 29:10 67:21 **Raphael** 60:9,11,13,16, 17 62:12 rated 69:14 **rates** 9:13 ravages 31:16 **Raymond** 46:7,8,9,13,14 **reach** 34:23 **reached** 3:24 24:2 reading 36:4 readings 75:17 real 38:1 41:15 **realize** 57:19 reason 25:16 47:25 65:13 reasonable 11:21,25 72:4 reasons 19:20 69:3 71:2 **receive** 4:12,24 5:5,24 received 15:14 27:7 receiving 14:24 23:22 recommend 3:14 recommendation 20:1 recommendations 8:25 15:16,19 20:18 recommended 15:17 20:12,16 recommending 19:21

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING

Index: R-E-E-S-E..relied

20:10 recommends 20:4 **record** 4:8 15:18 24:14 53:6 61:9 66:2 71:12 recorded 2:18 4:15 34:23 Records 22:1 recreation 16:19 redacted 65:4 **Reese** 27:5,6,9,10 **refer** 23:16 referred 12:4 **refers** 17:20 refineries 33:1 45:24 54:12 **reflect** 56:10 61:25 **refused** 56:16.23 regard 28:8 register 22:22 23:8 **registered** 4:17 24:4 26:23,24 27:5,20 31:5 34:8 35:21 37:10 38:18 45:3 47:6 61:12 registering 71:5 registration 71:10 regularly 68:16 regulatory 9:12 reinvest 48:21 reiterate 6:12 **reject** 45:25 49:19 related 10:1 55:22 relating 19:5 **relied** 56:18

rely 44:3 remainder 23:24 29:21 **remaining** 5:10 26:10 30:11 49:10 56:13 62:11 66:20 70:1 72:8 76:13 remarkable 34:25 remarks 6:3 remember 4:14 reminder 24:12 removal 75:24 **remove** 75:12 **renewable** 52:5,9,20 53:5,6 **repair** 54:20 **repairs** 69:24 repeated 38:6 repeatedly 55:19 **report** 78:10 **reported** 34:16,22 reportedly 69:4 reporter 77:15 reporting 52:9 77:23 **reports** 78:10 represent 24:19 representative 24:16,17, 18,19,23 26:11 representatives 3:21 7:10 24:22,25 representing 7:6 58:8 represents 28:1 request 14:13 15:21 25:17,21,22,24 26:12,15 35:18 72:18

DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020 requested 14:21 62:20 64:8 76:12 requests 3:24 **require** 13:14 28:16 required 30:1 54:25 55:3, 25 66:13 requirements 25:18 26:1,14 requires 11:20 requiring 66:25 reservation 18:20 61:3,4, 6,12,17 62:13 **residence** 18:2 68:3 **residences** 14:4 17:25 18:10 39:18 resident 43:12 **residential** 19:17 69:7 **residents** 18:1 28:19 34:11 53:23 54:19 61:2 63:21,24 70:18 Resistance 70:15 **resource** 8:22 16:13 19:2 **resources** 7:1 16:15,18, 19,25 19:6,7,16 39:15,24 58:20 **respect** 24:25 respiratory 49:16 respond 15:14 45:7 **responsible** 9:23 10:12 restricts 3:19 **result** 16:25 39:12 54:16 **return** 70:5 **revenue** 18:8 52:17 **review** 4:5,9 7:3 8:11,22

Index: rely..runs 9:3.23 10:4 14:17 16:9 20:22 21:4,7 22:11 23:1, 21 25:9,23 52:12 72:4 revised 39:6 **rich** 68:16 **Richins** 26:25 27:3,7 rights 16:2,5 rise 37:25 **risk** 68:22 69:13 **risked** 64:21 **River** 58:10,11 59:9,16, 17,18,19,20 **Rivers** 58:8 road 48:19 54:20,25 55:1 68:4 73:13 roadless 18:18 32:17 roadway 55:13 **Rocky** 53:4 role 8:15 65:21 **Ron** 52:13 room 29:11 **Roosevelt** 53:23 66:2 **round** 29:3 route 11:2 12:14,18,19 13:8,10,24 14:1 32:16,21 39:5 43:11,18 48:19 69:8 **routes** 11:23 rugged 13:14 32:17 **ruin** 44:2,6 **rules** 4:10 **run** 59:4 running 3:17 36:24 73:19 **runs** 43:7

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: ruralSoldi
rural 28:4 32:13 38:10	49:10 56:12 57:19 62:10	shocking 34:5
51:9 79:25	66:20 70:1 72:7	short 74:13 75:7,13
S	sector 29:3	76:22
	secured 52:17 79:13	Shoshone 61:13
safe 6:17 12:20 13:15	seeking 22:8	show 4:11 42:6 73:8
55:3	seemingly 69:3	showing 61:3
safety 6:15 16:14 19:5,6 55:2 69:12	seismic 16:16	shown 22:21 34:9
sage-grouse 14:9 17:12,	selected 65:20	shows 12:14 68:20
17 19:17 32:22 43:8	Senate 76:2	side 69:8
45:21 63:16 69:6	send 4:20 23:12 24:5	signal 74:25 75:1
Salt 27:23 33:1 36:22	sense 33:12 46:1,2	signed 79:3
37:16 38:25 45:23 47:19 54:11,12 67:15 73:20	sensitive 68:14	significant 16:22 30:6
sand 11:9 33:2	serve 2:11 52:20 55:13,	39:12 54:19,23 69:14
Sands 70:15	25	similar 13:22
	service 7:6 9:14 10:6,25	simple 74:12
Sarah 58:1,3,7	13:2 32:21 68:4 69:22 70:22	single 49:14 66:23
scale 32:5	session 4:6,13 24:3	SITLA 44:19 52:4,20
schedule 15:9	set 20:12	size 71:23 72:2
scheduled 22:13	sets 15:5,9	slide 4:2 22:22
school 46:20 52:8,13,15	·	slowing 41:17
SCIC 28:13	shadows 65:17	smack 36:6
science 41:11,22 42:7 46:19	shape 63:23	small 68:1 73:21
	share 5:18,22 58:13	smallest 17:4
scientists 45:14 76:6	shared 77:18	Society 68:20
scope 14:25 15:1	shares 40:8	Society's 68:13
scoping 14:18,20,24 15:2	Shaw 53:4	socioeconomic 18:5,8
screen 2:23	sheds 58:10	65:9 67:3
screenshot 20:23	sheer 71:23	socioeconomics 16:19
search 20:25 21:22 22:1,	shelf 42:13	soft 60:11
4 68:16	shipped 54:13 56:6	soils 16:15
	shippers 11:2	solar 52:10,12,22,24
secondary 52:3	••	Sulai JZ.10,12,22,24

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: solutionstuc
solution 32:14	spending 66:11	statements 72:2
solutions 53:8	spent 48:13,21 51:24	states 11:6 62:7
soot 33:11	56:7 60:18 79:20	stating 66:2
sorts 31:19 50:7	spew 29:6	status 28:23 49:17
source 30:7 44:5 45:18	spills 69:13	stay 36:23 43:14
sources 68:8	spoken 64:9 71:11 76:14	staying 6:17
south 10:21 12:15,21	sponsored 10:16	STB 22:1
13:6,9,25 14:5	spoof 57:11	stb.gov 21:22
southeastern 58:9	sprawl 37:22	steady 52:14
southwest 12:17	spread 75:22,24	steel 11:9 56:6
sovereign 25:13	spring 44:2,4	steep 32:17
space 54:14	spur 54:1	step 14:17 57:20
spark 69:25	stake 41:19	steps 25:14
sparked 44:13	Stan 27:20 45:7	stick 36:17
speak 4:18 24:5 31:12	standard 77:3	stimulated 40:9
47:6 58:19 60:17 61:7 62:20 64:8 76:12	Stanley 27:22,23 30:12, 25 31:4	Stock 58:1,2,3,7
speaker 24:15 26:22,23,	star 4:23 24:8 27:2,12,17	stop 38:8 42:4
24 27:5,9,15,20 31:6 33:19 35:21 37:10 38:18	40:18 62:25	stopped 74:16
40:15,21 42:17 45:3,11	start 2:6 8:1,15 24:13	stopping 41:16
46:7 49:22 51:18 53:12	40:3 44:16	storage 75:9
57:4 58:1 60:6 67:9 70:11 72:24	started 44:13 72:10	stories 77:21
speaking 24:23 63:9,10	starts 42:8	storms 38:3
speaks 56:24	state 7:2 10:4,8 13:2,17 17:10,14 18:15 24:14,23	stream 39:7 58:23
special 59:9	25:12 28:24 33:7 38:5,10	streaming 3:15
specialist 6:7 76:3	39:2 40:10 48:14 52:3,10 53:9 58:25	streams 39:7 58:24 63:17 75:18
species 17:7,9,12,15	state's 39:22	Street 33:1
39:16,17 59:2 68:6,14	stated 4:11	strongly 65:11
specifically 19:3 28:2 58:19 64:16 69:3	statement 2:9 5:23 6:11	students 52:2,3,6 53:6
speculative 56:15 72:19	7:8 8:4 21:1 25:4 33:7	studied 66:18
spend 47:20 48:10 56:4, 9 73:23	35:8 37:2 50:9,21 62:15 63:9 70:24 71:1,23,24 76:22	studies 34:9 36:16,19 55:17

Index: study..today

study 14:25 15:1 34:14	S
stuff 75:20	S
STV 60:23	S
subject 25:22	5
submit 5:7,9 8:12 9:5	S
21:17 22:16 23:2,5,9	S
submitted 22:15 25:18	S
submitting 23:3 26:17	S
subsidize 32:11	S
subsidy 28:1	S
substation 53:1	S
suffer 61:24	
suggest 56:3	_
sulphur 29:7	_
summary 14:16	t
summer 17:17 44:15	t
summertime 44:12	t
Summit 59:8	
sun 33:11	t
supplies 55:22	
support 21:6 30:21	t
46:16,24 47:1 53:22	t
54:4,6 56:8 64:16 72:16	t
supporting 2:13	t
supports 59:20	t
supposed 32:8 48:21	t
supposedly 63:20	t
surface 2:6,15 5:13 6:1,8	t
7:17 8:5,9,16 9:9 16:24 17:3,24 21:22 23:13,20	t
39:14 44:10 65:7,11,21	t
66:24 67:19	t
surge 52:9	t

surround 12:21 surrounds 11:24 surveys 21:5 suspected 29:17 suspend 67:6 suspended 65:19 sustain 46:17 49:12 sustainable 39:25 49:7,8 sustained 38:6 sympathetic 38:9 system 44:7 59:16,20 74:14 75:7

Т

table 25:8 61:14 takes 57:19 taking 6:16 7:11 31:17 44:1 50:6 talk 36:7,10 41:18 51:11 71:3 talking 75:1 tankers 54:10 tar 33:2 70:14 tasked 54:20 tax 18:8 36:11 team 77:16 telling 45:14 71:13 temporarily 61:17 temporary 39:13 tens 66:11 term 44:23 terminus 10:21 12:15

13:5 terms 69:12 terrain 11:24 13:14 32:17 **terrible** 64:1,2 test 57:15 testimonies 77:14 78:8, 11,14 testimony 77:20 78:3 testing 44:20 thankful 70:16 thing 6:12 36:10 50:8,20 things 44:18 45:10,20 59:3 75:18 thinking 51:1 third-party 2:13 Thirty 30:10 56:12 62:10 72:7 thoroughness 41:1 thousands 34:20 threatened 17:8 39:16 threatening 4:16 68:24 thresholds 17:25 tickets 71:15 tied 48:15 time 2:5 4:24 6:22 7:12 24:10 26:16 30:24 31:18 38:8 42:14 44:16 45:6,9, 17 46:4,18 47:7,20 50:6, 15 51:24 60:24 62:15,21 63:1 64:9 68:6 76:3,13 times 22:21 30:8 31:25 34:5,7,12,15 64:25 tipping 32:3 77:1 today 7:4,11 41:5 47:22

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: today'suntru
51:5 57:22 58:7,14,18 60:3	23:13,20 65:7,11,21 66:24 70:22 72:20	21:1,24 22:3 23:21 27:25 28:18,22,25 29:1,20
today's 4:10 5:6,16	traverses 32:16	30:13 31:15,22 32:16,25
22:25 23:6,18	traversing 12:20	33:3 34:3,19 36:5 41:8 46:15 49:13 50:11,13,14,
Todd 26:24 27:3	tree 68:17	24 51:6,23,25 53:9,20,22
told 41:22 71:14	Trepanire 70:11,13,14	54:2 55:8 58:17 59:14,23 60:19 66:4 67:17 70:5,23
tolerate 42:11	72:9	71:22 75:15,25 77:2
tonight's 4:20	tribal 17:10 18:13,19	uintabasinrailwayeis.
tons 30:14 75:21	tribe 13:1 19:4 61:7,8,10,	com. 22:24
Tony 68:19	13,16 62:2,4	Uintah 18:20 61:2,6,11,
top 59:5	Tribe's 18:20	13
topic 77:17	tribes 62:7	unavoidable 16:25
topic-grouped 78:12	triggering 70:2	understand 25:20 44:19 45:12 46:20 50:10,16
topics 4:1 14:22	truck 54:17	53:25
Torgeson 52:13,23	trucking 11:3	understanding 45:9
toxic 29:15	trucks 54:8	unfixable 33:14
toxics 29:16	trust 18:19 52:8,14,15	unimaginable 68:8
track 53:5 75:7,17	trustees's 52:19	Union 10:22 12:23 13:7
tracks 75:10,19,23	truth 63:22	75:8,21
traffic 11:11 54:17 55:2	tuned 6:23	United 11:6
train 17:21 43:14,17 44:6	tunnel 43:6,21,22 44:7	University 28:24 34:3
69:13	tunnels 12:19 13:14	unloaded 11:18
trains 11:6,16,18 17:22	14:12	unmute 3:20 24:17 27:21
transcribed 2:18 4:15	turn 13:11 14:16 47:24	31:7 33:21 35:23 37:11 38:20 40:22 42:22 45:4
transcripts 78:1	type 21:13 73:17	46:8 47:13 50:1 51:19
transition 51:2	typical 3:5	53:13 57:5 58:2 60:8
transloaded 65:25	U	63:4 64:12 67:10 70:12 73:1 74:8,9 76:17 77:10
transloading 55:12,14, 21,25 56:10 66:12	U.S. 7:6 10:6 13:1 76:2	78:24
transport 11:5	UBR 28:21	unmuted 38:21,22
transportation 2:6,15	Uinta 2:8 6:10 7:16 8:3	unnecessary 71:9
5:13 6:2,8 7:17 8:5,9,16 9:9,19 17:24 21:23	9:10 10:14,15,21 11:1,5, 13,22,24 12:21 13:5	unprecedented 45:16 untrue 43:15
	, ,	

	DRAFT EIS PUBLIC MEETING December 01, 2020	Index: UPCIwe
UPCI 48:21 73:16	viable 11:3 48:1,2 53:8	warming 30:8 33:14
upload 21:14,18	65:15	37:25 45:17
uranium 75:9	vibration 16:17 44:2,5	warnings 28:25
urban 37:22	video 3:19	warrants 29:9
urge 26:11 33:15 38:15	view 21:3	Wasatch 35:16
42:13 45:24 46:25 49:19	viewing 3:13	waste 16:16 32:11 38:13
53:7 65:11 70:7 72:11,17	views 51:21	wasted 79:21
urgent 45:16	violation 55:10	wasteland 36:25 43:15
user 27:2,12,17 40:18	Virginia 5:14 23:14	watch 7:22
42:20,21 49:23 53:12 60:7	virtually 5:20	water 16:14,25 19:16
users 4:23 24:8 62:24	vision 40:8	33:5 39:24 40:1,3 44:3,4
Utah 7:1 10:23 13:2,17	visitor 70:20	5 50:23 51:12 58:10,19 59:12,24 67:19 68:8
17:14 24:21 28:6,24 31:16 34:1 35:17 37:18, 21 50:25 53:9 56:20	visitors 70:18	waters 13:11 16:24 17:3
	visits 29:11	39:14 59:14,15
57:14 58:9,25 68:12,20	visual 16:19	waterways 59:1
69:17 70:14 73:21,22	VOCS 34:4,5,20	Wayland 2:14 3:23,24
75:11,23 76:5 77:17,22 Utah's 10:8 29:2	voice 24:23	5:13 6:1,4,6 8:8 23:13 41:1 70:25 71:11
	voiced 58:14	ways 23:1 63:12 75:18
Ute 13:1 18:19 19:4 61:10,17 62:2,3	volatile 29:13	wayside 17:20,23
utility 79:24	volume 11:11	weak 67:23
utilize 66:13	volumes 56:24 66:5	weather 41:25
	voluntary 20:6,9,15	web 71:13
V	vote 42:13 44:22,24	
Valley 24:20 61:13	57:21	webinar 3:18 6:24 27:1, 11,16 40:17 42:19 47:7 62:21
vast 31:21	W	website 5:2,11,17 7:20
vegetation 17:7	wait 35:6	20:24 21:2,4,9,22,23
vehicle 16:13 19:5		22:2,24 23:9,11 61:4

ventilation 44:7 verifiable 65:16 Vernal 53:23 66:2 viability 56:25

> Advanced Reporting Solutions 801-746-5080

68:13 78:5,18

26:11

Weight 24:16,17,18,19

wells 12:6 13:4,10,13

19:14 35:3 51:8

14:7 17:1 18:3,21,23

waiting 25:24

waiver 26:12

walked 68:4

wanted 71:12

	December 01, 2020
west 12:22 13:11 24:20	works 9:25
33:10 35:15 42:1 75:9,20	worry 44:13
westward 12:17	worst 49:13 73:8,12,19
wetland 59:10	worth 33:5
wetlands 16:24 17:3 39:14 59:11 67:19 69:4	worthy 77:20
whatsoever 75:15	wrap 30:16
wheels 17:21	Wright 63:3,5,6,8
Whitmore 12:6 13:22,25	writing 5:10,12 66:15
14:2,5,11,15 15:7 17:3, 15 18:2,17 19:10,15,23	written 5:1 22:14 23:10, 12 68:18
39:5 69:2	wrong 71:15
who've 66:6	www.
wide 29:10 48:12	uintabasinrailwayeis. com 5:12 7:21 20:25
widths 3:13	www.
wild 32:18 38:15 68:1	uintabasinrailwayeis.
wilderness 19:1	com. 78:6,19
wildfire 41:25 69:14	
wildfires 33:9 38:3 69:16	Y
wildlife 7:1 17:7 28:19 38:14 50:23 60:1 67:19	year 29:3 40:7 48:17 62:16
	02.10
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18,	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21	years 38:7 60:18 69:18
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11 winter 29:4 49:14	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16 Z Zoom 2:21 3:18 24:7 27:1,10,16 31:18 40:17
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11 winter 29:4 49:14 Winterton 35:22,24 36:2	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16 Z Zoom 2:21 3:18 24:7
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11 winter 29:4 49:14 Winterton 35:22,24 36:2 wisely 51:2	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16 Z Zoom 2:21 3:18 24:7 27:1,10,16 31:18 40:17
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11 winter 29:4 49:14 Winterton 35:22,24 36:2 wisely 51:2 wood 69:22	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16 Z Zoom 2:21 3:18 24:7 27:1,10,16 31:18 40:17
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11 winter 29:4 49:14 Winterton 35:22,24 36:2 wisely 51:2 wood 69:22 word 21:19 49:6,7 work 8:8 9:8 46:14 50:12	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16 Z Zoom 2:21 3:18 24:7 27:1,10,16 31:18 40:17
68:22 William 27:5,9 76:16,18, 21 wind 52:11 winter 29:4 49:14 Winterton 35:22,24 36:2 wisely 51:2 wood 69:22 word 21:19 49:6,7 work 8:8 9:8 46:14 50:12 77:21	years 38:7 60:18 69:18 74:16 79:7 young 50:16 Z Zoom 2:21 3:18 24:7 27:1,10,16 31:18 40:17